

and the number of points 10^m rises further, the probability distributions $\Pr_{e,G}^{(m)}(-\infty, u)$ converge to the universal cumulative probability distribution $K(u)$ and provide a numerical verification of Kolmogorov's theorem. Such curves make poor figures, however, because they hide beneath $K(u)$. The curves labeled $\Pr_{e,S,G}^{(m)}(-\infty, u)$ for $m = 2$ and 3 are made from 100 sets of $N = 10^m$ points taken from $P_S(x, 3, 1)$ and tested as to whether they instead come from $P_G(x, 0, 1)$. Note that as $N = 10^m$ increases from 100 to 1000, the cumulative probability distribution $\Pr_{e,S,G}^{(m)}(-\infty, u)$ moves farther from Kolmogorov's universal cumulative probability distribution $K(u)$. In fact, the curve $\Pr_{e,S,G}^{(4)}(-\infty, u)$ made from 100 sets of 10^4 points lies beyond $u > 8$, too far to the right to fit in the figure. Kolmogorov's test gets more conclusive as the number of points $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Warning, mathematical hazard: While binned data are ideal for chi-squared fits, they ruin Kolmogorov tests. The reason is that if the data are in bins of width w , then the empirical cumulative probability distribution $\Pr_e^{(N)}(-\infty, x)$ is a staircase function with steps as wide as the bin-width w even in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus **even if the data come from the theoretical distribution**, the limiting value D_∞ of the Kolmogorov distance will be positive. In fact, one may show (exercise 13.43) that when the data do come from the theoretical probability distribution $P_t(x)$ assumed to be continuous, then the value of D_∞ is

$$D_\infty \approx \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{w P_t(x)}{2}. \quad (13.324)$$

Thus in this case, the quantity $\sqrt{N} D_N$ would diverge as $\sqrt{N} D_\infty$ and lead one to believe that the data had not come from $P_t(x)$.

Suppose we have made some changes in our experimental apparatus and our software, and we want to see whether the new data $x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{N'}$ we took after the changes are consistent with the old data x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N we took before the changes. Then following equations (13.310–13.312), we can make two empirical cumulative probability distributions—one $\Pr_e^{(N)}(-\infty, x)$ made from the N old points x_j and the other $\Pr_e^{(N')}(-\infty, x)$ made from the N' new points x'_j . Next, we compute the distances

$$\begin{aligned} D_{N,N'}^+ &= \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left(\Pr_e^{(N)}(-\infty, x) - \Pr_e^{(N')}(-\infty, x) \right) \\ D_{N,N'} &= \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| \Pr_e^{(N)}(-\infty, x) - \Pr_e^{(N')}(-\infty, x) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (13.325)$$

which are analogous to (13.313–13.316). Smirnov (Smirnov 1939; Gnedenko