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1
Masses

1.1 Masses
Masses occur in the action density as the coefficients of terms quadratic in the fields. Thus the action density of a neutral, spin-zero field $\phi$ is

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi^2,$$

(1.1)

and the mass is $\mu$. The equation of motion is

$$(\partial_a \partial^a - \mu^2) \phi(x) = 0.$$

(1.2)

The field obeying this equation is

$$\phi(x) = \int \left[ a(k)e^{ikx} + a^\dagger(k)e^{-ikx} \right] \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2k^0}}.$$  

(1.3)

The charged spin-zero field is a complex linear combination of two equal-mass real fields

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \phi^{(1)} + i\phi^{(2)} \right).$$  

(1.4)

Its action density is

$$L = -\partial_a \phi^* \partial^a \phi - \mu^2 |\phi|^2,$$

(1.5)

and its equation of motion is

$$(\partial_a \partial^a - \mu^2) \phi(x) = 0.$$  

(1.6)

The charged field is

$$\phi(x) = \int \left[ a(k)e^{ikx} + b^\dagger(k)e^{-ikx} \right] \frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2k^0}}.$$  

(1.7)
Example 1.1 (Two spinless fields) The action density

\[ L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi_1 \partial^a \phi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi_2 \partial^a \phi_2 - \frac{1}{2} m_1^2 \phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_2^2 \phi_2^2 - m_1^2 \phi_1 \phi_2 \]  

implies the equations of motion

\[ \partial_a \partial^a \phi_1 = m_1^2 \phi_1 + m_2^2 \phi_2 \]

\[ \partial_a \partial^a \phi_2 = m_1^2 \phi_1 + m_2^2 \phi_2, \]

and is really a theory of two spinless bosons \( \phi_+ \) and \( \phi_- \). The eigenvalues of the matrix

\[ M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & m_2^2 \\ m_2^2 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \]

are

\[ m_+^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( m_1^2 + m_2^2 \pm \sqrt{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2 + 4m_1^2} \right). \]

Its eigenvectors are

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_+ - a)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \lambda_+ - a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_+ - c)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ - c \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \]

in which \( \lambda_\pm = m_\pm^2 \).

The physical fields are the normal modes of the theory. In terms of the vector

\[ \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

the equations of motion (1.22) are

\[ \partial_a \partial^a \phi = M^2 \phi. \]

An orthogonal matrix \( O \) diagonalizes the real, symmetric matrix \( M^2 \)

\[ M^2 = O^T \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix} O \quad \text{and} \quad M^2 O^T = O^T \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

So the columns of \( O^T \) are the eigenvectors (1.12) of \( M^2 \)

\[ \begin{pmatrix} O_{11}^T \\ O_{21}^T \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_+ - a)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \lambda_+ - a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_+ - c)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_+ - c \\ b \end{pmatrix} \]

and

\[ \begin{pmatrix} O_{12}^T \\ O_{22}^T \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_- - a)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ \lambda_- - a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_- - c)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_- - c \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \]
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The eigenfields are
\[
\begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix} = O \phi = \begin{pmatrix} O_{11} \phi_1 + O_{12} \phi_2 \\ O_{21} \phi_1 + O_{22} \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O^T_{11} \phi_1 + O^T_{21} \phi_2 \\ O^T_{12} \phi_1 + O^T_{22} \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}
\] (1.18)
or more explicitly
\[
\phi_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_\pm - a)^2}} [b \phi_1 + (\lambda_\pm - a) \phi_2]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + (\lambda_\pm - c)^2}} [(\lambda_\pm - c) \phi_1 + b \phi_2].
\] (1.19)

In terms of the masses, these fields are
\[
\phi_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^4 + (m_\pm^2 - m_1^2)^2}} [m^2 \phi_1 + (m^2_\pm - m_1^2) \phi_2]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m^4 + (m_\pm^2 - m_2^2)^2}} [(m^2_\pm - m_2^2) \phi_1 + m^2 \phi_2].
\] (1.20)

They obey the wave equations
\[
\partial_a \partial^a O \phi = O O^T \begin{pmatrix} m_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_-^2 \end{pmatrix} O \phi = \begin{pmatrix} m_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_-^2 \end{pmatrix} O \phi
\] (1.21)
or
\[
\partial_a \partial^a \phi_+ = m_+^2 \phi_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_a \partial^a \phi_- = m_-^2 \phi_-.
\] (1.22)

When \( m = 0 \), the physical fields are \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) with masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). In the opposite extreme case of \( m_1 = m_2 = 0 \), the normal-mode fields are
\[
\phi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_1 - \phi_2)
\] (1.23)
in terms of which \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) are
\[
\phi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_+ + \phi_-) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_+ - \phi_-).
\] (1.24)
The fields \( \phi_+ \) and \( \phi_- \) obey the equations of motion
\[
\partial_a \partial^a \phi_+ = m_+^2 \phi_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_a \partial^a \phi_- = - m_-^2 \phi_-.
\] (1.25)
The particles of the field \( \phi_- \) are tachyons. More generally, the particles of the field \( \phi_- \) are tachyons whenever \( m_-^2 > m_1 m_2 \).

For a Dirac spin-one-half field, the action density is
\[
L = - \bar{\psi} (\gamma^a \partial_a + m) \psi \equiv - \bar{\psi} (\bar{\psi} + m) \psi
\] (1.26)
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in which \( \bar{\psi} = i \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger \beta \). Weinberg’s choice of gamma matrices is

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^0 &= -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\vec{\gamma} &= -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma^5 &= \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\] (1.27)

He also uses \( \beta = i \gamma^0 \) and \( \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \beta = i \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \). The Dirac equation of motion is

\[
(\gamma^a \partial_a + m) \psi = (\bar{\psi} + m) \psi = 0.
\] (1.28)

The field is

\[
\psi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \psi_j^{(1)}(x) + i \psi_j^{(2)}(x) \right)
= \sum_{s} \int \left[ u_j(\vec{p}, s) b(p, s) e^{ipx} + v_j(\vec{p}, s) c^\dagger(p, s) e^{-ipx} \right] \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}
\] (1.29)

in which the fields \( \psi^1 \) and \( \psi^2 \) are the Majorana fields that make the Dirac field, and the Dirac index \( j \) runs from 1 to 4, \( px = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - p^0 t, p^0 = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} \),

\[
b(p, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( a(p, s, 1) + ia(p, s, 2) \right) \] (1.30)

\[
c^\dagger(p, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( a^\dagger(p, s, 1) + ia^\dagger(p, s, 2) \right) \] (1.31)

and the annihilation \( a_i \) and creation \( a^\dagger_j \) operators satisfy the anticommutation relations

\[
\{ a(p, i, s), a(p', s', j) \} \equiv a(p, s, i) a(p', s', j) + a(p', s', j) a(p, s, i) = 0
\]

\[
\{ a(p, i, s), a^\dagger(p', s', j) \} = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{s,s'} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{p}').
\] (1.32)

The physical mass of the fermion is the square root of \( m^2 \) and so is independent of the sign of \( m \).

For a massive vector field, the action density is

\[
L = -\frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_a A^a
\] (1.33)

in which \( F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a \). The equation of motion is

\[
\partial_b F^{ab}(x) = m^2 A^b(x).
\] (1.34)

This field contains a part that is spin zero. The spin-zero part is the divergence \( \partial_b A^b \), and the spin-one part has zero divergence

\[
\partial_b A^b = 0.
\] (1.35)
So the equation of motion of the spin-one part is

$$(\Box - m^2) A_b(x) = (\triangle - \partial^2_t - m^2)A_b(x) = 0.$$ (1.36)

The spin-one field is

$$A_b(x) = \sum_{s=-1}^{1} \int \left[ e_b(p, s)a(p, s)e^{ipx} + e^*_b(p, s)a^\dagger(p, s)e^{-ipx} \right] \frac{d^3p}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2p_0}}$$

in which the sum is over $s = -1, 0, 1$,

$$p^a e_a(p, s) = 0,$$ (1.38)

and the spin sum is

$$\sum_{s=-1}^{1} e_a(p, s)e^*_b(p, s) = \eta_{ab} + \frac{p_ap_b}{m^2}.$$ (1.39)

Homework problem 1 of set 2: Use Lagrange’s equations to derive the equation of motion (1.34) from the action density (1.33).

Homework problem 2 of set 2: Use the condition $\partial_b A^b = 0$ to convert the equation of motion (1.34) to its spin-one form (1.36).

Homework problem 3 of set 2: Show that the zero-divergence condition (1.35) implies the spin condition (1.38).
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Spontaneous symmetry breaking

2.1 Linear sigma model

The usual $\phi^4$ theory has action density

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4.$$  \hfill (2.1)

In the limit $\lambda \to 0$, this theory is that of Section 1.1. If we flip the sign of the mass term in (2.1), then we have

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4.$$  \hfill (2.2)

Both action densities are symmetric under the reflection $\phi(x) \to -\phi(x)$, which is a discrete symmetry.

To the extent that we understand such theories, the vacuum of the first theory has $\langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle = 0$. This vacuum is invariant under the reflection $\phi(x) \to -\phi(x)$. There are two classical vacua in the second theory. Its potential energy

$$V = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4$$  \hfill (2.3)

has two minima

$$\phi_{\pm} = \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \equiv \pm v.$$  \hfill (2.4)

The vacua $\phi_{\pm}$ are not invariant under the reflection $\phi(x) \to -\phi(x)$; they transform into each other $\phi_{\pm} \to \phi_{\mp}$. So if the states of a universe are clustered about $\phi_+$, then in that universe, the mean value of the field $\phi$ is

$$\langle 0_+ | \phi | 0_+ \rangle = \phi_+.$$  \hfill (2.5)

The vacuum spontaneously breaks the reflection symmetry.
If
\[ \phi(x) = v + \sigma(x), \]  
then the action density of the theory near \( \phi_+ \) is
\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \sigma}{\partial_a} \partial^a \sigma + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (v + \sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (v + \sigma)^4
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \sigma}{\partial_a} \partial^a \sigma + \frac{1}{2} m^2 (v^2 + 2v\sigma + \sigma^2)
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \lambda (v^4 + 4v^3\sigma + 6v^2\sigma^2 + 4v\sigma^3 + \sigma^4)
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \sigma}{\partial_a} \partial^a \sigma + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \left[ \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sigma + \sigma^2 \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \lambda \left[ \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^4 + 4 \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^3 \sigma + 6 \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^2 \sigma^2 + 4 \frac{m^4}{\lambda} \sigma^3 + \sigma^4 \right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \sigma}{\partial_a} \partial^a \sigma - m^2 \sigma^2 - \sqrt{\lambda} m \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \sigma^4 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{m^4}{\lambda} \]  
(2.7)
in which the last term is a constant (and so is relevant only in gravitational theories where it might represent dark energy). In the limit \( \lambda \to 0 \), this theory is that of a particle of mass \( \sqrt{2}m \).

If we generalize the single field \( \phi \) to an \( n \)-vector of fields \( \phi_i \), then we get the linear sigma model with action density
\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \phi_i}{\partial_a} \partial^a \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i^2 \right)^2
\]  
(2.8)
With \( \phi^2 \equiv \phi_1^2 + \cdots + \phi_n^2 \), this action density is
\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial_a \phi_i}{\partial_a} \partial^a \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^2)^2
\]  
(2.9)
Again the mass term has the wrong sign. In what follows, we will not bother to indicate sums over a repeated index \( i \) from 1 to \( n \).

This \( L \) is invariant when the fields change by
\[
\phi_i' = O_{ik} \phi_k
\]  
(2.10)
in which \( O \) is an \( n \times n \) orthogonal matrix. That is, the squared length
\[
\phi_i'^2 = (O_{ik} \phi_k)^2 = \phi_k^2
\]  
(2.11)
of \( \phi' \) is the same as that of \( \phi \). The action density is invariant under the nonabelian Lie group \( O(n) \). This is a continuous symmetry.
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The minima of the potential energy
\[ V = -\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4 \] (2.12)
are the points of the sphere
\[ \phi^2 = \phi \cdot \phi = \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \text{ of radius } |\phi| = v = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \] (2.13)

Whereas in the discrete case there were two degenerate vacua, here there are infinitely many.

As in the discrete case, we pick one vacuum. We imagine that in the physical vacuum \(|0\rangle\) the mean values of the \(n\) fields \(\phi_i\) are
\[ \langle 0 | \phi_i | 0 \rangle = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \delta_{im} = v \delta_{im}. \] (2.14)

Now we write the components of the field as
\[ \phi_i = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{n-1}, v + \sigma). \] (2.15)

So now
\[ \phi^2 = \phi_1^2 \ldots \phi_n^2 = \pi_1^2 + \cdots + \pi_{n-1}^2 + (v + \sigma)^2 \equiv \pi^2 + (v + \sigma)^2, \] (2.16)
and the action density (2.9) is
\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi_i \partial^a \phi_i + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda (\phi^2)^2 \\
= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \pi_i \partial^a \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \sigma \partial^a \sigma + \frac{1}{2}m^2 [\pi^2 + (v + \sigma)^2] - \frac{1}{4}\lambda [\pi^2 + (v + \sigma)^2]^2 \\
= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \pi_i \partial^a \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \sigma \partial^a \sigma + \frac{1}{2}m^2 [\pi^2 + v^2 + 2v\sigma + \sigma^2] \\
- \frac{1}{4}\lambda [\pi^2 + v^2 + 2v\sigma + \sigma^2]^2. 
\] (2.17)

In this expression, \(m^2 = \lambda v^2\), and so the coefficient of \(\pi^2\) vanishes while that of \(\sigma^2\) is \(-m^2\)
\[
L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_a \pi_i \partial^a \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \sigma \partial^a \sigma - m^2\sigma^2 - m\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma\pi^2 - m\sqrt{\lambda}\sigma^3 \\
- \frac{1}{4}\lambda \sigma^4 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda \pi^2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda \pi^4 - \frac{1}{4}m^4 \lambda. 
\] (2.18)

So the theory describes one field \(\sigma\) of mass \(\sqrt{2}m\) and \(n - 1\) massless fields \(\pi_k\). These massless fields are called Goldstone bosons.
2.2 Goldstone’s Theorem

Let $V(\phi)$ be a potential that is bounded below and that depends upon $n$ fields $\phi_j$. Assume that the action density

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \phi_j \partial^{\alpha} \phi_j - V(\phi)$$

(2.19)

is invariant under the global linear transformation

$$\phi'_j = O_{jk} \phi_k$$

(2.20)

in which the $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix $O$ is a member of a representation of a continuous Lie group such as $O(n)$. Since $V(\phi)$ is bounded below and invariant under the symmetry (2.20), it has several minima $\phi_0$. At these minima, the first-order partial derivatives must vanish

$$\left. \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_k} \right|_{\phi = \phi_0} = 0$$

(2.21)

and the mixed second-order partial derivatives must be nonnegative

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_k \partial \phi_\ell} \right|_{\phi = \phi_0} \equiv m_{k\ell} \geq 0.$$  

(2.22)

Near each minimum, the potential is

$$V(\phi) = V(\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\phi_k - \phi_{k0})(\phi_\ell - \phi_{\ell0}) m^2_{k\ell}$$

(2.23)

apart from higher-order terms which we will ignore. The matrix $m^2_{k\ell}$ is real and symmetric. So it can be diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation. Its eigenvalues are the squares of the masses of the scalar bosons of the theory.

Near the identity, the orthogonal matrix $O$ is

$$O = I + i \theta_r t^r$$

(2.24)

in which the generators $t^r$ are hermitian, $t^r \dagger = t^r$. An orthogonal matrix is real, so the antihermitian matrices $it^r$ are real and antisymmetric. Since $V(O\phi) = V(\phi)$, the derivatives with respect to $\theta_r$ vanish

$$\left. \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \theta_r} \right|_{\phi = \phi_0} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_j \partial \theta_r} \right|_{\phi = \phi_0} = 0$$

(2.25)

whether or not the field $\phi$ is at a minimum of $V$. Now

$$\phi_j(\theta) = \phi_j(0) + i \theta_r t^r_{jk} \phi_k(0)$$

(2.26)
so the derivative \((2.25)\) vanishes
\[
\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \theta^r} \frac{\partial \theta^r}{\partial \phi_j} = \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial \theta^r} \frac{\partial \theta^r}{\partial \phi_j} = 0 \tag{2.27}
\]
because of the symmetry whether or not the field \(\phi\) is at a minimum of \(V\). The derivative of this vanishing quantity with respect to \(\phi^\ell\) also must be zero
\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_{\ell}} t^r_{jk} \phi_k + \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_j} t^r_{\ell k} \phi_k = 0. \tag{2.28}
\]
So at one of the minima of \(V\) where the first derivatives vanish \((2.21)\), this second derivative is
\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(\phi_0)}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_{\ell}} t^r_{jk} \phi_{k0} + \frac{\partial V(\phi_0)}{\partial \phi_j} t^r_{\ell k} \phi_{k0} = 0. \tag{2.29}
\]
But these second derivatives are the elements \(m_{\ell j}\) of the mass matrix \((2.22)\). So we have for each generator \(t^r\) an eigenvector \(t^r_{jk} \phi_{0k}\) of the \(n \times n\) mass-squared matrix with eigenvalue zero
\[
m^2_{\ell j} t^r_{jk} \phi_{0k} = 0 \tag{2.30}
\]
unless \(t^r_{jk} \phi_{0k}\) itself vanishes, in which case it can’t be an eigenvector at all. So for every generator \(t^r\) that does not annihilate \(t^r_{jk} \phi_{0k} = 0\) the vector \(\phi_0\), there is an eigenvector \(t^r_{jk} \phi_{0k}\) of the mass matrix with eigenvalue zero. These eigenvectors may or may not be linearly independent. So the number of massless Goldstone bosons is at most the number of generators that do not annihilate the vacuum vector \(\phi_0\).

Goldstone’s theorem also holds for complex fields. Suppose the action density
\[
L = -\partial_a \psi^*_j \partial^a \psi_j - V(\psi^*_j \psi_j) = -\partial_a \psi^*_j \partial^a \psi_j - V(\psi^*_j \psi_j) \tag{2.31}
\]
is invariant under the global linear transformation
\[
\psi^*_j = U_{jk} \psi_k \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^*_j = U^*_{jk} \psi_k \tag{2.32}
\]
in which the \(n \times n\) unitary matrix \(U\) is a member of a representation of a continuous Lie group such as \(SU(n)\). Since \(V(\psi^*_j \psi_j) = V(\psi^*_j \psi_j)\) is bounded below and invariant under the symmetry \((2.20)\), it has several minima \(\psi_0\). At these minima, the first-order partial derivatives must vanish
\[
\frac{\partial V(\psi^*_j \psi_j)}{\partial \psi^*_k} \bigg|_{\psi = \psi_0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial V(\psi^*_j \psi_j)}{\partial \psi^*_k} \bigg|_{\psi = \psi_0} = 0 \tag{2.33}
\]
and the mixed second-order partial derivatives must be nonnegative
\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_k^* \partial \psi_\ell} \bigg|_{\psi = \psi_0} \equiv m_{k\ell} \geq 0. \tag{2.34}
\]

Near each minimum, the potential looks like this:
\[
V(\psi^\dagger \psi) = V(\psi_{0k}^* \psi_0) + (\psi_k^* - \psi_{k0}^*) (\psi_\ell - \psi_{0\ell}) m_{k\ell}^2 \tag{2.35}
\]
apart from terms of higher orders which we ignore. The matrix $m_{k\ell}^2$ is hermitian. So it can be diagonalized by a unitary transformation. Its eigenvalues are the squares of the masses of the scalar bosons of the theory.

Near the identity, the matrix $U$ is
\[
U = I + i \theta, t^r \tag{2.36}
\]
in which the generators $t^r$ are hermitian, $t^r_\dagger = t^r$. Since $V((U \psi)^\dagger U \psi) = V(\psi^\dagger \psi)$, the derivatives with respect to the $\theta_r$'s must vanish
\[
\frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \theta_r} = \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_j} \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \theta_r} + \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_k^*} \frac{\partial \psi_k^*}{\partial \theta_r} = 0 \tag{2.37}
\]
whether or not the field $\psi$ is at a minimum of $V$. Now
\[
\psi_j(\theta) = \psi_j(0) + i \theta_r t^r_{jm} \psi_m(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k^*(\theta) = \psi_k^*(0) - i \theta_r t^r_{k\ell} \psi_\ell(0) \tag{2.38}
\]
so the derivative (2.25) is
\[
\frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \theta_r} = \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_j} i t^r_{jm} \psi_m - \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_k^*} i t^r_{k\ell} \psi_\ell = 0 \tag{2.39}
\]
because of the symmetry. Since this quantity always vanishes due to the symmetry (2.32), its derivatives also vanish:
\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \theta_r \partial \psi_n^*} = \frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_n^* \partial \psi_j} i t^r_{jn} \psi_m - \frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_k^* \partial \psi_j} i t^r_{k\ell} \psi_\ell - \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_k^*} i t^r_{k\ell} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \theta_r \partial \psi_i} = \frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_i \partial \psi_j} i t^r_{jm} \psi_m - \frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_i \partial \psi_k^*} i t^r_{k\ell} \psi_\ell + \frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_j} i t^r_{ji} = 0.
\]
Terms like $\psi_i^* \psi_k^*$ and $\psi_j \psi_k$ don’t occur in the potential (2.35) near any of its minima. So when all the fields are equal to their values $\psi_{0k}$ at a minimum of $V$, then the first derivatives vanish (2.33), and we have
\[
\frac{\partial V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_n^* \partial \psi_j} t^r_{jm} \psi_m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 V(\psi^\dagger \psi)}{\partial \psi_i \partial \psi_k^*} t^r_{k\ell} \psi_\ell = 0. \tag{2.40}
\]
But the second derivatives are just the elements $m_{ij}$ of the mass matrix
Spontaneous symmetry breaking

(2.22). So the vector $t^r_{jk} \psi_{0k}$, unless it vanishes, is an eigenvector of the mass matrix with eigenvalue zero

$$m_{ij} t^r_{jk} \psi_{0k} = 0.$$  \hfill (2.41)

But these vectors may not be linearly independent. Thus the number of massless bosons is at most the number of generators that do not annihilate the vacuum vector $\psi_0$.

**Example 2.1 (SU(2))**  Suppose the vector

$$\phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hfill (2.42)

is the mean value in the vacuum $\langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle$ of a complex doublet $\phi$ that transforms under the fundamental representation of the group SU(2). Then

$$\sigma_1 \phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_2 \phi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 \phi_0 = \phi_0.$$  \hfill (2.43)

None of the three generators annihilates the vector $\phi_0$. So for a potential like $V(\phi) = \lambda (\phi^\dagger \phi - \mu^2/\lambda)^2$, three of the four real fields that make up the complex doublet $\phi$ are massless Goldstone bosons, while the fourth field, the one associated with the magnitude $\phi^\dagger \phi$ of the doublet, is massive.

This model is easier to understand when written in terms of the four real fields $\phi_i$ that make up the doublet $\psi$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (2.44)

We need four $4 \times 4$ real antisymmetric matrices $\Sigma_i$ whose effect on the four real fields $\phi_i$ is the same as that of the Pauli matrices $\sigma_i$, so that if

$$i\sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\phi_1 + i\delta\phi_2 \\ \delta\phi_3 + i\delta\phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$  \hfill (2.45)

then $\Sigma_{i\alpha\beta} \phi_\beta = \delta\phi_\alpha$. The $4 \times 4$ real antisymmetric matrices that represent the matrices $i\sigma$ are

$$\Sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (2.46)
They generate an $SO(3)$ subgroup of $SO(4)$ which is the symmetry group of the action. (What breaks $SO(4)$ to $SU(2)$?) They map the vacuum vector $\Phi_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ into $\Sigma_1 \Phi_0 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, $\Sigma_2 \Phi_0 = (0, 0, -1, 0)$, and $\Sigma_3 \Phi_0 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$. These vectors are linearly independent. So there are three massless Goldstone bosons. The subgroup $H$ that leaves the vacuum $\Phi_0$ invariant is generated by three $4 \times 4$ real symmetric matrices generators all of which annihilate the vector $\Phi_0$.

Example 2.2 ($SU(3)$) Suppose the vector

$$\psi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.47)

is the mean value in the vacuum $\langle 0 | \psi | 0 \rangle$ of a complex triplet $\psi$ that transforms under the fundamental representation of the group $SU(3)$. The Gell-Mann matrices $\lambda_i = 2t^i$ lack a factor of $1/2$ and so are twice the usual generators of $SU(3)$:

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.48)$$

Of the eight generators $t^r = \lambda/2$ only

$$r^6 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad r^7 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.49)$$

annihilate the vector $\psi_0$. So if the potential is like $V(\psi^\dagger \psi) = \lambda(\psi^\dagger \psi - \mu^2/\lambda)^2$, then six of the six real fields that make up the complex triplet $\psi$ are massless Goldstone bosons. But surely one of the six real fields becomes massive. So the six vectors $t^r \psi_0$ for $r = 1, \ldots, 5$ and $r = 8$ must not be linearly independent. Suitably normalized, they are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.50)$$
Spontaneous symmetry breaking

If we allow only real coefficients, then five of these vectors are linearly independent, but the third vector is equal to the sixth vector.

It may be clearer to study this model in terms of its six real fields. The potential then is

$$V(\psi^\dagger \psi) = \lambda (\psi^\dagger \psi - \mu^2 / \lambda)^2 = \lambda \left( \sum_{j=1}^{6} \phi_j^* \phi_j - \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda} \right)^2 \quad (2.51)$$

in which $\psi_1 = (\phi_1 + i\phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$, $\psi_2 = (\phi_3 + i\phi_4)/\sqrt{2}$, and $\psi_3 = (\phi_5 + i\phi_6)/\sqrt{2}$.

The eight $6 \times 6$ real antisymmetric matrices $\Lambda_i$ that have the same effect as the $i\lambda_j = 2i\delta^j_l$ in the sense that if

$$i\lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ \phi_3 + i\phi_4 \\ \phi_5 + i\phi_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\phi_1 + i\delta\phi_2 \\ \delta\phi_3 + i\delta\phi_4 \\ \delta\phi_5 + i\delta\phi_6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.52)$$

then $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \phi_\beta = \delta\phi_\alpha$ are

$$\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.53)$$

$$\Lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.54)$$

$$\Lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.55)$$
as well as
\[
\Lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Properly scaled, these 8 matrices provide a real 6-dimensional representation of $SU(3)$. Only $\Lambda_6$ and $\Lambda_7$ annihilate the vacuum vector $\psi_{0j} = \mu/\sqrt{\lambda}\delta_{1j}$, but $\Lambda_3\psi_0$ and $\Lambda_8\psi_0$ are equal and therefore linearly dependent. So there are five Goldstone bosons and one massive boson, $\phi_1 - \mu/\sqrt{\lambda}$.

### 2.3 Gauge Invariance

The reason we could generalize our formulas for muon pair production to tau pair production is that all the charged leptons are coupled to the photon in the same way. Although electrodynamics is an abelian gauge theory, we might as well consider the general case of a nonabelian gauge theory.

The action density of a Yang-Mills theory is unchanged when a space-time dependent unitary matrix $U(x)$ changes a vector $\psi(x)$ of matter fields to $\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x)$. Terms like $\psi^\dagger \psi$ are invariant because $\psi^\dagger(x)U^\dagger(x)U(x)\psi(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x)$, but how can kinetic terms like $\partial_i \psi^\dagger \partial^i \psi$ be made invariant? Yang and Mills introduced matrices $A_i$ of gauge fields, replaced ordinary derivatives $\partial_i$ by covariant derivatives $D_i = \partial_i + A_i$, and required that $D_i^\dagger \psi' = UD_i \psi$ or that
\[
(\partial_i + A'_i)U = \partial_i U + U\partial_i + A'_i U = U(\partial_i + A_i). \tag{2.57}
\]
Their nonabelian gauge transformation is
\[
\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x) \\
A'_i(x) = U(x)A_i(x)U^\dagger(x) - (\partial_i U(x))U^\dagger(x).
\tag{2.58}
\]

One often writes the unitary matrix as $U(x) = \exp(-ig \theta_a(x) t_a)$ in which $g$ is a coupling constant, the functions $\theta_a(x)$ parametrize the gauge transformation, and the generators $t_a$ belong to the representation that acts on the vector $\psi(x)$ of matter fields.

In the case of electrodynamics, the unitary matrix is a member of the group $U(1)$; it is just a phase factor $U(x) = \exp(-ie \theta_a(x))$. The abelian
gauge transformation is
\[
\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x) = e^{-ie\theta(x)}\psi(x)
\]
\[
A'_a(x) = U(x)A_a(x)U^\dagger(x) = A_a(x) + ie\partial_a\theta(x).
\] (2.59)

I have been using a notation in which \(A_i\) is antihermitian to simplify the algebra. So if \(A_i = iA^b_i\), then the abelian gauge transformation is
\[
\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x) = e^{-ie\theta(x)}\psi(x)
\]
\[
A'_b(x) = U(x)A_b(x)U^\dagger(x) + i(\partial_b U(x))U^\dagger(x) = A_b(x) + e\partial_b\theta(x).
\] (2.60)

Similarly, with real gauge fields, \(A_b = -iA_i\), the nonabelian gauge transformation is
\[
\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x)
\]
\[
A'_b(x) = U(x)A_b(x)U^\dagger(x) + i(\partial_b U(x))U^\dagger(x).
\] (2.61)

### 2.4 Abelian Higgs Mechanism

A theory with action density
\[
L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} - (D_a\phi)^*D^a\phi m^2\phi^*\phi - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\phi^*\phi)^2
\] (2.62)
in which the complex field \(\phi\) is
\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2)
\] (2.63)
and its covariant derivative is
\[
D_b\phi = (\partial_b + ieA_b)\phi
\] (2.64)
describes charged bosons \(\phi\) of mass \(m\) interacting with themselves directly and through the massless electromagnetic field \(A_b\). This theory has an abelian gauge symmetry. That is, the action density is invariant under the spacetime-dependent \(U(1)\) transformation \(U(x) = \exp(-ie\theta(x))\)
\[
\psi'(x) = U(x)\psi(x) = e^{-ie\theta(x)}\psi(x)
\]
\[
A'_b(x) = U(x)A_b(x)U^\dagger(x) + i(\partial_b U(x))U^\dagger(x) = A_b(x) + e\partial_b\theta(x).
\] (2.65)

But if we flip the sign of the mass term from \(-m^2\phi^*\phi\) to \(m^2\phi^*\phi\)
\[
L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{ab}F^{ab} - (D_a\phi)^*D^a\phi + m^2\phi^*\phi - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\phi^*\phi)^2
\] (2.66)
then things get more interesting. The complex field $\phi$ now minimizes the energy of the ground state of the theory by assuming a mean value

$$|\phi| = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \quad (2.67)$$

The various possible vacua lie on a circle of radius $m/\sqrt{\lambda}$ in the complex $\phi$-plane. We choose the one in which $\langle 0|\phi|0 \rangle \equiv \phi_0 = m/\sqrt{\lambda}$ is real and set

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \sigma$$

$$= \frac{(v + \sigma_1 + i\sigma_2)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (2.68)$$

where $\phi_0 = v/\sqrt{2} = m/\sqrt{\lambda}$. Now the potential energy is

$$V = -m^2 \phi^* \phi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\phi^* \phi)^2$$

$$= -m^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v + \sigma_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v + \sigma_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \right]^2$$

$$= -\frac{m^2}{2} (v^2 + 2v\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{\lambda}{8} (v^2 + 2v\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2 \quad (2.69)$$

$$= -\frac{m^2}{2} (v^2 + 2v\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{\lambda}{8} (v^2 + 2v\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2$$

$$= -\frac{m^2}{2} (v^2 + 2v\sigma_1 + \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda v^3 \sigma_1$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \lambda v^2 (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda v^2 \sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda v^2 \sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{8} \lambda (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{8} v^4.$$

Since $v^2 = 2m^2/\lambda$, terms linear in $\sigma_1$ cancel, as do terms quadratic in $\sigma_2$. We then have

$$V = m^2 \sigma_1^2 + m \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sigma_1 (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) + \frac{\lambda}{8} (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)^2 - \frac{m^4}{2\lambda}. \quad (2.70)$$

So the theory seems to have a spinless boson $\sigma_1$ of mass $\sqrt{2}m$ and a massless spinless Goldstone boson $\sigma_2$. 

---
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But wait. What about the kinetic action of the scalar fields? It is
\[ L_{\phi,K} = -(D_a \phi)^* D^a \phi = - (\partial_a - ieA_a) (\phi_0 + \sigma^*) (\partial^a + ieA^a) (\phi_0 + \sigma) \]
\[ = - (ie\phi_0 A_a + D^*_a \sigma^*) (ie\phi_0 A^a + D^a \sigma) \]
\[ = - \left[ -ieA_a \phi_0 + (\partial_a - ieA_a) \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2}} - i \frac{\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] \]
\[ \times \left[ ieA^a \phi_0 + (\partial^a + ieA^a) \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{\sigma_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] \]
\[ = - e^2 \phi_0^2 A^a - (D_a \sigma)^* D^a \sigma + ieA_a \phi_0 D^a \sigma - ieA^a \phi_0 (D_a \sigma)^* \]
\[ = - e^2 \phi_0^2 A^a - (D_a \sigma)^* D^a \sigma - \sqrt{2} e^2 A_a A^a \phi_0 \sigma_1 - \sqrt{2} e A^a \phi_0 \partial_a \sigma_2 \]
in which
\[ s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma_1 + i \sigma_2). \] (2.72)

The gauge field \( A_a \) has acquired a mass
\[ M = \sqrt{2} e \phi_0 = \sqrt{2} \frac{em}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \] (2.73)

It makes sense to change the name of this field to
\[ B_a \equiv A_a + \frac{1}{M} \partial_a \sigma_2 = A_a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} e \phi_0} \partial_a \sigma_2 = A_a + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2} em} \partial_a \sigma_2. \] (2.74)

Note that the extra gradient of \( \sigma_2 \) does not change the Faraday tensor
\[ F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a = \partial_a B_b - \partial_b B_a. \] (2.75)

Apart from cubic and quartic terms and a constant, the action density is
\[ L = - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 B_a B^a - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a \sigma_1) \partial^a \sigma_1 - m^2 \sigma_1^2. \] (2.76)

This theory describes a vector boson \( A_b \) of mass \( M = em \sqrt{2/\lambda} \) interacting with a scalar boson \( \sigma_1 \) of mass \( \sqrt{2} m \), at least at low energies and low temperatures.

An algebraically simpler way to get the same result is to use the fact that this theory is a \( U(1) \) gauge theory, so we can rotate the complex field \( \phi \) at every point of space-time so as to make it real. Then, instead of
\[ L = - \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - (D_a \phi)^* D^a \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi - \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\phi^* \phi)^2, \] (2.77)
we have
\[ L = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - (D^a \phi) D_a \phi + m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - (\partial_a - i e A_a) \phi (\partial^a + i e A^a) \phi + m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - e^2 \phi^2 A_a A^a + m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^4. \]

Now, we have the simplest kind of spontaneous symmetry breaking in which the real field \( \phi \) assumes a mean value \( \phi_0 \) whose square is \( m^2 / \lambda \). We choose
\[ \langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle = \phi_0 = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \] (2.79)
and set
\[ \phi = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \] (2.80)
where now both \( \phi \) and \( \sigma \) are real. In these terms, \( L \) is
\[ L = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \partial_a \phi \partial^a \phi - e^2 A_a A^a \phi^2 + m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \sigma \partial^a \sigma - e^2 A_a A^a \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \]
\[ + m^2 \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} F_{ab} F^{ab} - \frac{e^2 m^2}{\lambda} A_a A^a - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \sigma \partial^a \sigma - m^2 \sigma^2 \]
\[ - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \ m e^2 A_a A^a \sigma - \frac{1}{2} e^2 A_a A^a \sigma^2 - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{8} \sigma^4 + \frac{m^4}{\lambda}. \]

In this unitary gauge, the theory has a real scalar boson of mass \( \sqrt{2} m \) interacting with a massive vector boson \( A_a \) of mass \( M = e m \sqrt{2 / \lambda} \). In the quadratic part of \( L \), there are no terms coupling \( \sigma \) to \( A_b \). If both \( e \) and \( \lambda \) are small, then perturbation theory should describe \( \sigma \) interacting with \( A_b \) and with itself through the cubic and quartic terms in the second line of the last form of this equation. This is the abelian Higgs mechanism.

One may wonder whether one can transform to the unitary gauge even when the mass term \( -m^2 |\phi|^2 \) has the “right” sign so that the \( U(1) \) symmetry is unbroken. The phase \( e \theta = \tan(\phi_2 / \phi_1) \) of the required gauge transformation is not defined where it is most needed, namely in the vicinity of the vacuum where \( \langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle = 0 \). The derivatives of the phase \( e \theta \) are singular at \( \phi = 0 \).

In this unitary gauge, the theory has a real scalar boson of mass \( \sqrt{2} m \) interacting with a massive vector boson \( A_a \) of mass \( M = e m \sqrt{2 / \lambda} \). In the quadratic part of \( L \), there are no terms coupling \( \sigma \) to \( A_b \). If both \( e \) and \( \lambda \) are small, then perturbation theory should describe \( \sigma \) interacting with \( A_b \) and with itself through the cubic and quartic terms in the second line of the last form of this equation. This is the abelian Higgs mechanism.

One may wonder whether one can transform to the unitary gauge even when the mass term \( -m^2 |\phi|^2 \) has the “right” sign so that the \( U(1) \) symmetry is unbroken. The phase \( e \theta = \tan(\phi_2 / \phi_1) \) of the required gauge transformation is not defined where it is most needed, namely in the vicinity of the vacuum where \( \langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle = 0 \). The derivatives of the phase \( e \theta \) are singular at \( \phi = 0 \).
2.5 \textit{SO}(n) Nonabelian Higgs Mechanism

Let’s start with our \textit{SO}(n) theory \eqref{eq:2.9}

\[ L = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_a \phi_i \partial^a \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \left( \phi^2 \right)^2. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.82)

in which the sign of the mass term induces spontaneous symmetry breaking and

\[ \phi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i \phi_i. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.83)

We can make this \textbf{global} \textit{SO}(n) symmetry \textbf{local} by introducing \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \) gauge fields \( A_b \), one for each generator \( t^f \) of the group \( O(n) \). The antihermitian gauge-field matrix is

\[ A_b(x) = i e \sum_{f=1}^{n(n-1)/2} t^f A_b^f(x) \] \hspace{1cm} (2.84)

in which the imaginary antisymmetric generators obey the commutation relations

\[ [t^f, t^g] = i f_{fgk} t^k \] \hspace{1cm} (2.85)

with totally antisymmetric \textbf{structure constants} \( f_{fgk} \). The generators are orthogonal but not normalized

\[ \text{Tr}(t^f t^g) = k \delta_{fg} \] \hspace{1cm} (2.86)

in which the positive constant \( k \) depends upon the representation to which the generators belong. In the defining representation of \( O(n) \), the generators are \( n \times n \) imaginary antisymmetric matrices. One may also write the matrix of gauge fields as a linear combination of \( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \) real antisymmetric matrices \( \tau^f = it^f \)

\[ A_b(x) = e \sum_{f=1}^{n(n-1)/2} \tau^f A_b^f(x). \] \hspace{1cm} (2.87)

One may take the \( \tau \)'s to be defined for \( 0 < r < c \leq n \) as

\[ \tau_{rc} = \delta_{ri} \delta_{ck} - \delta_{ci} \delta_{rk}. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.88)

For this representation, the parameter \( k \) is 2

\[ \text{Tr} \tau^{rc} \tau^{r'c'} = -2 \delta_{rr'} \delta_{cc'} = -k \delta_{rr'} \delta_{cc'}. \] \hspace{1cm} (2.89)
(We will not bother to rescale the generators so that they obey the standard \( SO(n) \) commutation relations with the right structure constants.)

The covariant derivative is
\[
D_b = \partial_b + A_b
\]
(2.90)

The nonabelian Faraday tensor
\[
F_{ik} = [D_i, D_k] = \partial_i A_k - \partial_k A_i + [A_i, A_k]
\]
(2.91)
transforms covariantly
\[
F'_{ik} = UF_{ik}U^{-1}.
\]
(2.92)

The action of the nonabelian Faraday tensor is invariant
\[
\text{Tr} \left( UF_{ik}U^{-1}UF_{ik}U^{-1} \right) = \text{Tr} \left( UF_{ik}F_{ik}U^{-1} \right) = \text{Tr} \left( F_{ik}F^{ik} \right).
\]
(2.93)

The action density of this theory is
\[
L = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(F_{ik}F^{ik}) - \frac{1}{2} (D_a \phi)^T (D^a \phi) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^2)^2
\]
(2.94)
in which the sign of the trace is because the trace \( \tau_{ik} \tau_{ki} \) of a real antisymmetric matrix is negative
\[
\tau_{ik} \tau_{ki} = - \tau_{ik} \tau_{ik} = -(\tau_{ik})^2 < 0.
\]
(2.95)

Once again, we have spontaneous symmetry breaking. In the vacuum state \(|0\rangle\), the field \( \phi \), which is a real \( n \)-vector assumes a value on the sphere of radius \( |\phi| = \phi_0 = m/\sqrt{\lambda} \). As before, we write
\[
\phi = (\phi_0 + \sigma, \pi_2, \pi_3, \ldots, \pi_n).
\]
(2.96)

We have one scalar field \( \sigma \) of mass \( m_\sigma = \sqrt{2} m \) and at most \( n - 1 \) massless scalar fields \( \pi_i \), one for each of the \( n - 1 \) generators \( t^f = -i \tau^f \) that do not annihilate the vector
\[
\langle 0 | \phi_i | 0 \rangle = \phi_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.
\]
(2.97)

Look now at the kinetic action of the fields \( \phi_i \)
\[
L_{K\phi} = - \frac{1}{2} (D_a \phi)^T (D^a \phi)
= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k,\ell=1}^n \phi_k \left[ \delta_{ki} \partial_a + \sum_{f=1}^{n(n-1)/2} e \tau^f_{ik} A^f_a \right] \left[ \delta_{\ell\ell} \partial^a + \sum_{g=1}^{n(n-1)/2} e \tau^g_{\ell\ell} A^g_a \right] \phi_{\ell}.
\]
(2.98)
The part of this that involves $\phi_0$ quadratically is

$$L_{K\phi_0} = -\frac{1}{2} e^2 \phi_0^2 \tau^f \tau^g A^g_a A^f_a = -\frac{1}{2} e^2 \phi_0^2 \tau^{rc} \tau^{r'c'} A^{rc}_a A^{r'c'a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^2 \phi_0^2 \delta_{r'1} \delta_{c1} \delta_{c'l} \delta_{r'c'} A^{rc}_a A^{r'c'a} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c=2}^n e^2 \phi_0^2 A^{1c}_a A^{1ca} \quad (2.99)$$

in which we used our definition (2.88) of the unscaled generators $\tau^f = \tau^{rc}$. Thus there are $n - 1$ massive gauge bosons. They have absorbed the $n - 1$ Goldstone bosons $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n$.

So in this $O(n)$ gauge theory of $n$ scalar fields, $n - 1$ of the scalar fields combine with $n - 1$ of the gauge bosons to make $n - 1$ gauge bosons massive. One scalar field is massive and observable. Of the $n(n - 1)/2$ gauge bosons of $O(n)$,

$$\frac{n(n - 1)}{2} - (n - 1) = (n - 1) \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{(n - 1)(n - 2)}{2} \quad (2.100)$$

remain massless. For $O(3)$ and 3 scalar fields, 2 gauge fields become massive; one remains massless; and one scalar field is massive and observable. For $O(4)$ and 4 scalar fields, 3 gauge fields become massive; 3 remain massless; and one scalar field is massive and observable.
Standard model

3.1 \textbf{SU(2) Higgs Mechanism}

Let’s now consider a theory of a 2-component complex scalar field $\phi$ with action density

$$L = -(D_a \phi)\dagger D^a \phi + \frac{1}{4k} \text{Tr}(F_{ab} F^{ab}) + m^2 |\phi|^2 - \lambda |\phi|^4$$

in which $|\phi|^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2$. Here the antihermitian gauge-field matrix is

$$A_b = i e \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot A_b$$

in which the $\sigma$ are the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

The covariant derivative is

$$(D_b \phi)_i = \partial_b \phi_i + (A_b)_{ij} \phi_j = \partial_b \phi_i + (i e \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot A_b)_{ij} \phi_j = \partial_b \phi_i + i e \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^k)_{ij} A^k_b \phi_j.$$  

This action density is invariant under $SU(2)$ transformations

$$\phi'(x) = U(x) \phi(x) = \exp(-i \theta(x) \cdot \sigma/2) \phi(x)$$

$$A'_b(x) = U(x) A_b(x) U^\dagger(x) + i (\partial_b U(x)) U^\dagger(x)$$

$$= \exp(-i \theta(x) \cdot \sigma/2) A_b(x) \exp(i \theta(x) \cdot \sigma/2) + i (\partial_b \exp(-i \theta(x) \cdot \sigma/2)) \exp(i \theta(x) \cdot \sigma/2)$$

(3.5)

that depend upon the space-time point $x$. The trace relation for the generators $\sigma/2$ is

$$\text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_i \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij},$$

(3.6)
so the constant \( k = 1/2 \).

Going again to the unitary gauge, we rotate the field \( \phi \) to

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + \sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.7)

in which \( \phi_0^2 = m^2/(2\lambda) \) and \( v = m/\sqrt{\lambda} \) is real. None of the three generators \( \sigma^k \) annihilates the vacuum vector, so there is the possibility of three massless Goldstone bosons and one massive scalar boson.

The kinetic action of the Higgs field \( \phi \) has a term quadratic in \( \phi \)

\[
\left[ ie \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^k)_{ij} A^k_b \phi_j \right]^\dagger ie \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^m)_{\ell\ell} A^{mb} \phi_\ell
\]

(3.8)

which is simpler in matrix notation

\[
\frac{e^2}{4} \phi_0^\dagger \sigma^k \sigma^m \phi_0 A^k_b A^{mb}
\]

(3.9)

Symmetrizing and using the relation

\[
\sigma^k \sigma^m = \delta_{km} I + i \epsilon_{km\ell} \sigma^\ell,
\]

(3.10)

we find at \( \phi = \phi_0 \)

\[
\frac{e^2}{8} \phi_0^\dagger \{ \sigma^k, \sigma^m \} \phi_0 A^k_b A^{mb} = \frac{e^2}{4} \phi_0^\dagger \delta_{km} I \phi_0 A^k_b A^{mb}
\]

(3.11)

\[
= \frac{e^2 v^2}{4} A^k_b A^{kb} = \frac{1}{2} M^2 A^k_b A^{kb}.
\]

So all three gauge bosons get the same mass \( M = ev/\sqrt{2} \). They absorb all three Goldstone bosons.

What if we had put \( \phi \) in the adjoint representation of \( SU(2) \)? In this case, its mean value would have been a real three vector of some length pointing in some direction. There would be two Goldstone bosons and one massive scalar boson. So one gauge boson would have remained massless. In fact, this is a model we already have studied: it is just the \( SO(3) \) gauge theory with the Higgs in the defining representation.

We now skip to section 3.7.

### 3.2 \( SU(2) \) with the Higgs in the adjoint representation

This section may be skipped on a first reading.
If the Higgs field $h$ is a matrix that transforms in the adjoint representation, so that $h' = UhU^\dagger$, then its covariant derivative is
\[ D_b h = \partial_b h + [A_b, h] \tag{3.12} \]
in which the anti hermitian gauge field is
\[ A_b = ie\frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot A_b \tag{3.13} \]
which is (3.2). The mass term for the gauge fields arises from the action of the Higgs field
\[ - \text{Tr} \left[ (D_b h)^\dagger D^b h \right] = - \text{Tr} \left[ (\partial_b h + [A_b, h])^\dagger(\partial_b h + [A_b, h]) \right]. \tag{3.14} \]
If $h_0$ is the mean value of the Higgs field in the vacuum, then this kinetic action makes the mass term
\[ - \text{Tr} \left( [A_b, h_0]^\dagger[A_b, h_0] \right) = - \text{Tr} \left( [h_0^b, A_b^\dagger][A_b, h_0] \right) = - \text{Tr} \left( [A_b, h_0^b][A_b, h_0] \right) \tag{3.15} \]
since $A$ is antihermitian. When $h_0$ is a multiple of the identity matrix, the commutator $[A_b, h_0]$ vanishes, and all the gauge bosons remain massless.

3.3 Which gauge fields are left massless?

Suppose the Higgs field $\phi$ has a mean value $\phi^0$ in the vacuum. Suppose the generator $c_b^b$ sends $\phi^0$ to zero
\[ c_b^b t_{ij}^b \phi_j^0 = 0. \tag{3.16} \]
The mass-squared term is
\[ \frac{1}{2} A^a_{\mu} M^2_{ab} A^{b\mu} = A^a_{\mu} \phi^0_i t_{ij}^a \phi^0_k A^{b\mu} \tag{3.17} \]
and so the vector $(c_1, c_2, \ldots)$ is an eigenvector of the matrix $M^2_{ab}$ with eigenvalue zero
\[ \frac{1}{2} M^2_{ab} c_b = \phi^0_i t_{ij}^a (t_{jk}^b \phi^0_k c_b) = 0. \tag{3.18} \]
Thus the diagonal form of this matrix is
\[ M^2_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix} 0 \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} m_d \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix} + \cdots, \tag{3.19} \]
and so coefficient or mass of the (unnormalized) gauge field

$$A_\mu = c_b A^b_\mu$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

in the mass-squared term (3.17) is zero:

$$\frac{1}{2} A_\mu^a M^2_{ab} A^b_\mu = \frac{1}{2} (c_a A^a_\mu) 0 (c_b A^b_\mu) + \frac{1}{2} (d_a A^a_\mu) m_d (d_b A^b_\mu) + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

and so a linear combination of gauge fields

$$A_\mu = \frac{c_b A^b_\mu}{\sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \ldots}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

remains massless if the corresponding linear combination of generators sends the mean value $\phi^0$ of the Higgs field to zero (3.16) or equivalently if the unitary transformation $U = \exp(ic_b T^b)$ leaves that mean value invariant

$$U \phi_0 = e^{ic_b T^b} \phi_0 = \phi_0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

The corresponding charge $c_b T^b$ leaves the vacuum invariant

$$U |\phi_0\rangle = e^{ic_b T^b} |\phi_0\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

and so generates an unbroken symmetry.

### 3.4 $SU(3)$ Pure Gauge Theory

The Gell-Mann matrices are

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.25)

The generators $t_a$ of the $3 \times 3$ defining representation of $SU(3)$ are these Gell-Mann matrices divided by 2

$$t_a = \lambda_a / 2 = t^a = \lambda^a / 2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.26)

(Murray Gell-Mann, 1929–).
The eight generators $t_a$ are orthogonal with $k = 1/2$

$$\text{Tr} (t_a t_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}$$

and satisfy the commutation relation

$$[t_a, t_b] = if_{abc} t_c.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.28)

A trace formula gives us the $\textbf{SU}(3)$ structure constants as

$$f_{abc} = (-i/k) \text{Tr}([t_a, t_b] t_c) = -2i \text{Tr} ([t_a, t_b] t_c).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

They are real and totally antisymmetric with $f_{123} = 1$, $f_{458} = f_{678} = \sqrt{3}/2$, and $f_{147} = -f_{156} = f_{246} = f_{257} = f_{345} = -f_{367} = 1/2$.

While no two generators of $\textbf{SU}(2)$ commute, two generators of $\textbf{SU}(3)$ do. In the representation (3.25, 3.26), $t_3$ and $t_8$ are diagonal and so commute

$$[t_3, t_8] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.30)

They generate the Cartan subalgebra of $\textbf{SU}(3)$.

The gauge-field matrix is

$$A_\mu(x) = ig \sum_{b=1}^{8} t^b A^b_\mu(x)$$

in the defining representation. The covariant derivative in that representation is

$$D_\mu = I \partial_\mu + A_\mu(x) = I \partial_\mu + ig \sum_{b=1}^{8} t^b A^b_\mu(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.31)

The Faraday matrix is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = [D_\mu, D_\nu] = [I \partial_\mu + A_\mu(x), I \partial_\nu + A_\nu(x)] = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

in matrix notation. With more indices exposed, it is

$$(F_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu])_{cd}
\hspace{1cm} (3.32)$$

$$= ig \sum_{b=1}^{8} t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu \right) + \left[ ig \sum_{b=1}^{8} t^b A^b_\mu, ig \sum_{c=1}^{8} t^c A^c_\nu \right]_{cd}.$$

$$\hspace{1cm} (3.33)$$
To avoid the sum signs, we sum over repeated indices from 1 to 8

\[(F^b_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = ig t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu \right) - g^2 A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \left( [t^b, t^c] \right)_{cd} \]

\[(F^b_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = ig t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu \right) - g^2 A^b_\mu A^c_\nu i f_{def} t^f_{cd} \]

\[(F^b_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = ig t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu \right) - ig^2 A^b_\mu A^c_\nu f_{def} t^f_{cd} \]

\[(F^b_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = ig t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu \right) - ig^2 A^b_\mu A^c_\nu f_{def} t^f_{cd} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.35)

\[(F^b_{\mu\nu})_{cd} = ig t^b_{cd} \left( \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu - g f_{def} A^f_\mu A^e_\nu \right) = ig t^b_{cd} F^b_{\mu\nu} \]

where

\[F^b_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^b_\nu - \partial_\nu A^b_\mu - g f_{def} A^f_\mu A^e_\nu \hspace{1cm} (3.36)\]

is the Faraday tensor.

The action density of this tensor is

\[L_F = -\frac{1}{4} F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_b \] \hspace{1cm} (3.37)

in which raising and lowering an index of a compact group is of cosmetic, not cosmic, significance. The trace of the square of the Faraday matrix is

\[\text{Tr}[F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_c] = \text{Tr} \left[ ig t^b_{\mu\nu} ig t^c_{\mu\nu} F^c_{\mu\nu} \right] \]

\[= -g^2 F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_c \text{Tr} (t^b t^c) = -g^2 F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_c k \delta_{bc} \] \hspace{1cm} (3.38)

So the Faraday action density is

\[L_F = -\frac{1}{4} F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_b = \frac{1}{4kg^2} \text{Tr}[F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr}[F^b_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] . \] \hspace{1cm} (3.39)

The theory described by this action density, without scalar or spinor fields, is called pure gauge theory.

### 3.5 Quantum Chromodynamics

If we add massless quarks in the fundamental or defining representation, then we get the theory of the strong interactions called Quantum Chromodynamics. Thus, let \( \psi \) be a complex 3-vector of Dirac fields

\[\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_r \\ \psi_g \\ \psi_b \end{pmatrix} \] \hspace{1cm} (3.40)
This section may be skipped on a first reading.

Let’s now add a triplet of complex scalar fields that transform according to the defining representation

\[ \phi_b'(x) = U_{bc}(x) \phi_c(x) = \left[ e^{-i\theta^a(x) t^a} \right]_{bc} \phi_c(x). \]  

(3.43)

The action density then is

\[ L = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] - \bar{\psi} \left( \gamma^\mu D_\mu + m \right) \psi. \]  

(3.42)

3.6 SU(3) Higgs Mechanism

The SU(3) gauge fields will transform as

\[ A'_\mu(x) = U(x) A_\mu(x) U^\dagger(x) + i(\partial_\mu U(x)) U^\dagger(x) \]
\[ = e^{-i\theta^a(x)t^a} A_\mu(x) e^{i\theta^a(x)t^a} + i(\partial_\mu e^{-i\theta^a(x)t^a}) e^{i\theta^a(x)t^a}. \]  

(3.44)

in which the gauge-field matrix is

\[ A_\mu(x) = ig \sum_{b=1}^{8} t^b A^b_\mu(x). \]  

(3.45)

in the defining representation.

Suppose the action density is

\[ L = \frac{1}{4kg^2} \text{Tr} \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right] - (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger D^\mu \phi + m^2 |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 |\phi|^4. \]  

(3.46)

I have fiddled with the coefficients so as to avoid extra factors and roots of 2. Once again, we have spontaneous breaking of the local SU(3) symmetry as the vacuum arranges itself so as to give the scalar field a mean value

\[ \phi_0 \equiv \langle 0 | \phi_a(x) | 0 \rangle = \nu \delta_{a3} = \frac{m}{\lambda} \delta_{a3} \]  

(3.47)

so that

\[ \phi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{2} \nu + \sigma + i\phi_{3i} \right) = \nu + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma + i\phi_{3i}) \]  

(3.48)
Standard model

in which the choice of the third direction was arbitrary. The complex fields \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \), and the imaginary part of \( \phi_3 \) remain massless, but the real part of \( \phi_3 \) acquires the mass \( \sqrt{2} m \).

Now instead of (3.11), we have

\[
e^{\frac{2}{8}} \phi_0^\dagger \{ \lambda^k, \lambda^m \} \phi_0 A^k_b A^{mb} = \frac{1}{2} M^2 A^k_b A^{kb}. \tag{3.49}
\]

The gauge fields that don’t move \( \phi_0 \), that is, the ones that have \( \lambda^m \phi_0 = 0 \) (3.50) remain massless. So \( A_1, A_2, \) and \( A_3 \) remain massless. The other five gauge bosons, \( A^4 \ldots A^8 \) absorb the five massless scalar fields and acquire masses.

Homework set 3: Find those masses.

Let’s put the scalar fields in the adjoint representation of \( SU(3) \). Now there are 8 real scalar fields, and we can write them as an 8-vector \( \phi \) or as a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix

\[
\Phi = \sum_{a=1}^{8} t^a \phi^a. \tag{3.51}
\]

The covariant derivative now is

\[
D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + ig A_\mu) \phi = (\partial_\mu + ig A^b_\mu T^b) \phi \tag{3.52}
\]

where the generators in the adjoint representation are

\[
T^b_{ac} = i f_{abc} \tag{3.53}
\]

in which the structure constants \( f_{abc} \) are real and totally antisymmetric. Thus, we have

\[
(D_\mu \phi)_a = (\delta_{ac} \partial_\mu + ig A_{\mu ac}) \phi_c = \partial_\mu \phi_a - g A^b_\mu f_{abc} \phi_c. \tag{3.54}
\]

We also can write this as

\[
D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi + g[A_\mu, \Phi] = t^a \partial_\mu \phi_a + ig[t^b, t^c] A^b_\mu \phi_c = t^a \partial_\mu \phi_a + ig f_{bac} t^a A^b_\mu \phi_c = t^a \partial_\mu \phi_a - gt^a A^b_\mu f_{abc} \phi_c = t^a (\partial_\mu \phi_a - g A^b_\mu f_{abc} \phi_c) = t^a (D_\mu \phi)_a. \tag{3.55}
\]

Now the gauge-boson mass term inside \( \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi)_a (D^\mu \phi)_a \) is the proportional to the trace

\[
g^2 Tr \left( [t^a, \Phi] [t^b, \Phi] \right) A^a_\mu A^\mu_b. \tag{3.56}
\]

So is the vacuum gives \( \Phi \) the mean value

\[
\Phi_0 = (0|\Phi|0), \tag{3.57}
\]
then the gauge-boson mass term is proportional to the trace

$$g^2 \text{Tr} \left( [t^a, \Phi_0][t^b, \Phi_0] \right) A^a_{\mu} A^b_{\mu}. \quad (3.58)$$

So those linear combinations of gauge fields times generators that commute with $\Phi_0$ remain massless.

For instance, if

$$\Phi_0 \propto \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.59)$$

then the gauge bosons $A^1, A^2, A^3$ and $A^8$ remain massless, while $A^4, A^5, A^6,$ and $A^7$ become massive. Interestingly, the $SU(3)$ symmetry is broken to $SU(2) \times U(1)$.

On the other hand, if

$$\Phi_0 \propto \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.60)$$

then only $A^3$ and $A^8$ remain massless, and the unbroken symmetry is just $U(1) \times U(1)$.

### 3.7 GSW Electroweak Model and the Higgs Mechanism

The local gauge group is $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. It acts on a complex doublet (or 2-vector) of scalar fields $H$, the Higgs. What’s weird is that it acts only on the “left-handed” quarks and leptons. So it violates parity maximally.

Let’s leave out the fermions for the moment and focus just on the Higgs and the gauge fields. The gauge transformation is

$$H'(x) = U(x)H(x)$$

$$A'_{\mu}(x) = U(x)A_{\mu}(x)U^\dagger(x) + (\partial_{\mu}U(x))U^\dagger(x) \quad (3.61)$$

in which the $2 \times 2$ unitary matrix $U(x)$ is

$$U(x) = \exp \left[ ig \frac{\alpha^a}{2} \alpha^a(x) + ig' \frac{Y}{2} \beta(x) \right]. \quad (3.62)$$

The generators here are the 3 Pauli matrices and the hypercharge $Y$ which is proportional to the identity matrix and takes on different values for different fields.
The action density of the theory (without the fermions) is
\[ L = -(D_\mu H)^\dagger D^\mu H + \frac{1}{4k} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + m^2|H|^2 - \lambda |H|^4 \] (3.63)
in which the covariant derivative for the Higgs doublet is
\[ D_\mu H = \left( I \partial_\mu + i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A^a_\mu + i g' \frac{Y}{2} B_\mu \right) H. \] (3.64)
The hypercharge of the Higgs is \( Y = 1 \), so this covariant derivative is
\[ D_\mu H = \left( I \partial_\mu + i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A^a_\mu + i g' \frac{1}{2} B_\mu \right) H. \] (3.65)
The minimum of the Higgs potential is where
\[ 0 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial |H|^2} = 2\lambda |H|^2 - m^2. \] (3.66)
So
\[ |H| = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}. \] (3.67)
Going to unitary gauge, we transform this mean value to
\[ H_0 = \langle 0 | H(x) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.68)
In unitary gauge, the Higgs potential is
\[ V(v) = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda v^4, \] (3.69)
and its second derivative is
\[ V''(v) = 3\lambda v^2 - m^2 = 2m^2 = m_H^2. \] (3.70)
The mass of the Higgs then is
\[ m_H = \sqrt{2} m = \sqrt{2 \lambda} v. \] (3.71)
Experiments at SLAC and LEP2 (see below) revealed value of \( v \) to be
\[ v = 246 \text{ GeV}. \] (3.72)
In 2012, experiments at the LHC showed the Higgs’s mass to be
\[ m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}. \] (3.73)
The self coupling \( \lambda \) therefore is
\[ \lambda = \frac{m_H^2}{2 v^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{125}{246} \right)^2 = 0.129. \] (3.74)
In unitary gauge and after spontaneous symmetry breaking, the mass terms for the gauge bosons that emerge from \(- (D_\mu H)^\dagger D^\mu H\) are

\[
L_M = -\frac{1}{2} (0, v) \left( g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A^a_\mu + g' \frac{1}{2} B_\mu \right) \left( g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A^a_\mu + g' \frac{1}{2} B_\mu \right) \left( 0 \right) \quad (3.75)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{8} (0, v) \left( gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu, \quad g(A^1_\mu - iA^2_\mu) \right)
\[
\times \left( gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu, \quad g(A^1_\mu - iA^2_\mu) \right) \left( 0 \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{v^2}{8} \left( g(A^1_\mu + iA^2_\mu), \quad -gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu \right) \left( g(A^1_\mu - iA^2_\mu) \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{v^2}{8} \left[ g^2 \left( A^1_\mu A^1_\mu + A^2_\mu A^2_\mu \right) + (-gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu) \left( -gA^3_\mu + g'B_\mu \right) \right].
\]

The normalized complex, charged gauge bosons are

\[
W^\pm_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( A^1_\mu \mp iA^2_\mu \right) \quad (3.76)
\]

and the normalized neutral one is

\[
Z_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \left( gA^3_\mu - g'B_\mu \right). \quad (3.77)
\]

The orthogonal, normalized gauge boson

\[
A_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \left( g'A^3_\mu + gB_\mu \right) \quad (3.78)
\]

remains massless. It is the photon.

In terms of these properly normalized fields, the mass terms are

\[
L_M = -\frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W^- W^+ - \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{8} Z_\mu Z^\mu. \quad (3.79)
\]

So the \(W^+\) and the \(W^-\) get the same mass

\[
M_W = g \frac{v}{2} = 80.385 \text{ GeV}/c^2. \quad (3.80)
\]

while the \(Z\) (also called the \(Z^0\)) has mass

\[
M_Z = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{v}{2} = 91.1876 \text{ GeV}/c^2. \quad (3.81)
\]

Measurement of these masses at SLAC and LEP2 determined and the identification of the charge of the proton as

\[
0 < e = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \quad (3.82)
\]
led to the determination of $g, g'$, and $\nu$.

Why do three gauge bosons become massive? Because there are three Goldstone bosons corresponding to three ways of moving $\langle 0|H|0 \rangle$ without changing the Higgs potential. Why does one gauge boson stay massless? Because one linear combination of the generators of $SU_L(2) \otimes U(1)$ maps $\langle 0|H|0 \rangle$ to zero, and so does not make an eigenstate of the gauge-boson mass matrix with eigenvalue zero.

In terms of these mass eigenstates, the original gauge bosons are

\[
\begin{align*}
A_1^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^+_\mu + W^-_\mu) \\
A_2^\mu &= \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} (W^-_\mu - W^+_\mu) \\
A_3^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g'A_\mu + gZ_\mu) \\
B_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (gA_\mu - g'Z_\mu).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the covariant derivative for a fermion of $U(1)$ hypercharge $Y$ and coupling $g$ to the $SU(2)_L$ gauge fields is

\[
D_\mu = i \partial_\mu + i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A_\mu^a + i g' \frac{Y}{2} B_\mu
\]

\[
= i \partial_\mu + i g \left[ \frac{\sigma_1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^+_\mu + W^-_\mu) + \frac{\sigma_2}{2} \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} (W^-_\mu - W^+_\mu) + \frac{\sigma_3}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g'A_\mu + gZ_\mu) \right] + i \frac{g'}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (gA_\mu - g'Z_\mu)
\]

\[
= i \partial_\mu + i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} A_\mu^a + i g' \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^+_\mu T^3 + W^-_\mu T^-) + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu \left( g^2 T^3 - g'^2 Y \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
+ i \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu \left( T^3 + Y \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

So the electric charge operator is

\[
Q = T^3 + \frac{Y}{2}
\]

(3.85)

and the absolute value of the charge of the electron is

\[
0 < e = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}
\]

(3.86)
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in which

$$T^\pm = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2).$$  (3.87)

The left-handed leptons have $Y = -1$; the left-handed quarks have $Y = \frac{1}{3}$; the right-handed charged leptons have $Y = -2$; the right-handed up-quarks have $Y = \frac{4}{3}$; the right-handed down-quarks have $Y = -\frac{2}{3}$; the Higgs boson has $Y = 1$; and the gauge bosons have $Y = 0$. The electron neutrino and the electron fit into the doublet

$$E_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}. $$  (3.88)

So the charge of the electron is

$$-e = -\frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}.$$  (3.89)

The charge of the neutrino is zero.

The fine-structure constant is

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\hbar c} = 1/137.035 \ 999 \ 074(44) \approx 1/137.036.$$  (3.90)

The photon-lepton term then is

$$\frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu \left( T^3 + \frac{Y}{2} \right) E_\ell = e A_\mu \left( T^3 + \frac{Y}{2} \right) E_\ell$$

$$= e A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix} = e A_\mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e \end{pmatrix}$$  (3.91)

in which the first $e$ is the absolute value of the charge of the electron and the second $(-e)$ is the field of the electron.

The weak mixing angle $\theta_w$ is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_w & -\sin \theta_w \\ \sin \theta_w & \cos \theta_w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^3 \\ B \end{pmatrix}. $$  (3.92)

Our equations (3.77) and (3.78) identify these trigonometric values as

$$\cos \theta_w = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \theta_w = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}.$$  (3.93)

Since the charge is $Q = T^3 + Y/2$, we can use $Q - T^3$ instead of $Y/2$, so that the coupling to the $Z$ is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu \left[ g^2 T^3 - g'^2 Y \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu \left[ (g^2 + g'^2) T^3 - g'^2 Q \right]$$  (3.94)
and the coupling to the photon is

\[ \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu \left( T^3 + \frac{Y}{2} \right) = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu Q. \] (3.95)

We also have

\[ \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} = \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g'^2}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} = \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} \sin^2 \theta_w. \] (3.96)

So the coupling to the \( Z \) is

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu \left[ (g^2 + g'^2) T^3 - g'^2 Q \right] = \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu \left( T^3 - \sin^2 \theta_w Q \right). \] (3.97)

The charge of the proton is

\[ e = g \sin \theta_w, \] (3.99)

and the coupling to the photon \( A \) is

\[ \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu Q = g \sin \theta_w A_\mu Q = e A_\mu Q. \] (3.100)

In these terms, the covariant derivative (3.84) is

\[ D_\mu = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W^+_\mu T^+ + W^-_\mu T^- \right) \]
\[ + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} Z_\mu \left( g^2 T^3 - g'^2 Y \right) + i \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu \left( T^3 + \frac{Y}{2} \right) \]
\[ = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W^+_\mu T^+ + W^-_\mu T^- \right) \]
\[ + i \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu \left( T^3 - \sin^2 \theta_w Q \right) + ie A_\mu Q \] (3.101)

in which the matrices \( T^\pm \) and \( T^3 \) are those of the representation to which the fields they act on belong. When acting on \textbf{left-handed} fermions, they are half the Pauli matrices, \( T = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \). When acting on \textbf{right-handed} fermions, they are zero, \( T = 0 \). Since \( g = e/\sin \theta_w \), the couplings involve one new parameter \( \theta_w \).

Our mass formulas (3.80 and 3.81) for the \( W \) and the \( Z \) show that their
masses are related by
\[ M_W = g \frac{v}{2} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{v}{2} = \cos \theta_w \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{v}{2} = \cos \theta_w M_Z. \]  
(3.102)
Experiments have determined the masses and shown that
\[ \sin^2 \theta_w = 0.231 \quad \text{or} \quad \theta_w = 0.233 \]  
(3.103)
and that
\[ v = 246.22 \text{ GeV}. \]  
(3.104)

3.8 Quark and Lepton Interactions

The right-handed fermions \( u_r, d_r, e_r \), and \( \nu_{e,r} \) are singlets under \( SU_L(2) \otimes U_Y(1) \). So they have \( T^3 = 0 \). The definition (3.85) of the charge \( Q \)
\[ Q = T^3 + \frac{Y}{2} \]  
(3.105)
then implies that
\[ Y_r = 2Q_r. \]  
(3.106)
That is, \( Y_{\nu_e,r} = 0, Y_{e_r} = -2, Y_{u_r} = 4/3, \) and \( Y_{d_r} = -2/3. \)
The left-handed fermions are in doublets
\[ L_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \]  
(3.107)
with \( T^3 = \pm 1/2 \). So the choices \( Y_{L_\ell} = -1 \) and \( Y_{Q_\ell} = 1/3 \) and the definition (3.85) of the charge \( Q \) give the right charges:
\[ QL_\ell = Q \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e^- \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad QQ_\ell = Q \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2u/3 \\ -d/3 \end{pmatrix}. \]  
(3.108)

Fermion-gauge-boson interactions are due to the covariant derivative (3.101) acting on either the left- or right-handed fields. On right-handed fermions, the covariant derivative is just
\[ D^\nu_\mu = i \partial_\mu + i \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu ( -\sin^2 \theta_w Q ) + ie A_\mu Q \]
\[ = i \partial_\mu - ie \frac{\sin \theta_w}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu Q + ie A_\mu Q \]  
(3.109)
So the covariant derivative of a neutral right-handed fermion is just the
ordinary derivative. And the right-handed neutrino does not interact except with gravity. On left-handed fermions, the covariant derivative is

\[ D^\ell_\mu = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W^+_\mu T^+ + W^-_\mu T^-) \]

\[ + i \frac{g}{\cos \theta_w} Z^\mu (T^3 - \sin^2 \theta_w Q) + ie A_\mu Q \]

\[ = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{e}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_w} (W^+_\mu T^+ + W^-_\mu T^-) \]

\[ + i \frac{e}{\cos \theta_w} Z^\mu \left( \frac{T^3}{\sin \theta_w} - \sin \theta_w Q \right) + ie A_\mu Q. \] (3.110)

For the first family or generation of quarks and leptons, the kinetic action density is

\[ L_k = -\mathcal{L}_\ell \bar{\nu}^\ell L_\ell - \mathcal{L}_r \bar{\nu}^r L_r - \mathcal{L}_\ell \bar{\nu}^\ell Q_\ell - \mathcal{L}_r \bar{\nu}^r Q_r \] (3.111)

in which \( \bar{\nu} \equiv \gamma^\mu D_\mu \). The \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix \( \gamma_5 = \gamma^5 \) plays the role of a fifth (spatial) gamma matrix \( \gamma^4 = \gamma_5 \) in 5-dimensional space-time in the sense that the anticommutator

\[ \{ \gamma^a, \gamma^b \} = 2\eta^{ab} \] (3.112)

in which \( \eta \) is the \( 5 \times 5 \) diagonal matrix with \( \eta^{00} = -1 \) and \( \eta^{aa} = 1 \) for \( a = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). In Weinberg’s notation, \( \gamma_5 \) is

\[ \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.113)

The combinations

\[ P_\ell = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) \quad \text{and} \quad P_r = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5) \] (3.114)

are projection operators onto the left- and right-handed fields. That is,

\[ P_\ell Q = Q_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad P_r Q_\ell = Q_\ell \] (3.115)

with a similar equation for \( P_r \). We can write \( L_k \) as

\[ L_k = -\mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^\ell \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5) L - \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^r \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) L \]

\[ - \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^\ell \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5) Q - \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^r \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) Q \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^\ell (1 + \gamma_5) L + \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^r (1 - \gamma_5) L \right. \]

\[ \left. s + \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^\ell (1 + \gamma_5) Q + \mathcal{L} \bar{\nu}^r (1 - \gamma_5) Q \right]. \] (3.116)
Homework set 4, problem 1: Show that
\[ T_L^{\beta} L = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) L \right] \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_5) L = T_L b^{\beta/2} (1 + \gamma_5) L. \] (3.117)

Recall that in Weinberg’s notation
\[ \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger i \gamma^0 = \psi^\dagger \beta = \psi^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \] (3.118)
in which \( I \) is the 2 \( \times \) 2 identity matrix.

3.9 Quark and Lepton Masses

The Higgs mechanism also gives masses to the fermions, but somewhat arbitrarily. Dirac’s action density (1.26) has as its mass term
\[ -m \bar{\psi} \psi = -im \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (P_\ell + P_r) \psi = -im \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (P_\ell^2 + P_r^2) \psi. \] (3.119)

Since \( \{ \gamma^0, \gamma^5 \} = 0 \), this mass term is
\[ -m \bar{\psi} \psi = -im \psi^\dagger P_\ell \gamma^0 P_\ell \psi - im \psi^\dagger P_r \gamma^0 P_r \psi \]
\[ = -im (P_\ell \psi)^\dagger \gamma^0 P_\ell \psi - im (P_r \psi)^\dagger \gamma^0 P_r \psi \]
\[ = -im \psi_\ell^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi_\ell - im \psi_r^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi_r = -m \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_\ell - m \bar{\psi}_r \psi_r. \] (3.120)

Incidentally, because the fields \( \psi_\ell \) and \( \psi_r \) are independent, we can redefine them
\[ \psi_\ell^\prime = e^{i \theta} \psi_\ell \] (3.121)
\[ \psi_r^\prime = e^{i \phi} \psi_r \] (3.122)
at will. Such a redefinition changes the mass term to
\[ -m' \bar{\psi}_r \psi_\ell - m' \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_r = -m e^{i(\theta - \phi)} \bar{\psi}_\ell \psi_\ell - m e^{-i(\theta - \phi)} \bar{\psi}_r \psi_r. \] (3.123)

So the phase of a Dirac mass term has no significance.

The definition (3.118) of \( \bar{\psi} \) shows that the Dirac mass term is
\[ -m \bar{\psi} \psi = -m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi = -m \left( \psi_\ell^\dagger \psi_r^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi_\ell \psi_r \right) = -m \left( \psi_\ell^\dagger \psi_r + \psi_r^\dagger \psi_\ell \right). \] (3.124)

These mass terms are invariant under the Lorentz transformations
\[ \psi_\ell^\prime = \exp(-z \cdot \sigma) \psi_\ell \]
\[ \psi_r^\prime = \exp(z^* \cdot \sigma) \psi_r \] (3.125)
because
\[
\psi^\dagger_\ell \psi^\dagger_r = \psi^\dagger_\ell \exp(-z^* \cdot \sigma) \exp(z^* \cdot \sigma) \psi^\dagger_r = \psi^\dagger_\ell \psi^\dagger_r.
\]
(3.126)

They are not invariant under rigid, let alone local, \(SU(2)_\ell\) transformations. But we can make them invariant by using the Higgs field \(H(x)\). For instance, the quantity \(Q^\dagger_\ell H d_r\) is invariant under local \(SU(2)_\ell\) transformations. In unitary gauge, its mean value in the vacuum is
\[
\langle 0 | Q^\dagger_\ell H d_r | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v \langle 0 | d^\dagger_\ell d_r | 0 \rangle.
\]
(3.127)

So the term
\[
-c_d Q^\dagger_\ell H d_r - c^*_d d^\dagger_\ell H^\dagger Q_\ell
\]
(3.128)
is invariant, and in the vacuum it is
\[
\langle 0 | - c_d Q^\dagger_\ell H d_r - c^*_d d^\dagger_\ell H^\dagger Q_\ell | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v \langle 0 | - c_d d^\dagger_\ell d_r - c^*_d d^\dagger_\ell d_\ell | 0 \rangle
\]
(3.129)
which gives to the \(d\) quark the mass
\[
m_d = \frac{|c_d|}{\sqrt{2}} v.
\]
(3.130)

Note that we must add one new parameter \(c_d\) to get one new mass \(m_d\). This parameter \(c_d\) is complex in general, but the mass \(m_d\) depends only upon the absolute value and not upon its phase of \(c_d\).

Similarly, the term
\[
-c_e L^\dagger_\ell H e_r - c^*_e e^\dagger_\ell H^\dagger L_\ell
\]
(3.131)
is invariant, and in the vacuum it is
\[
\langle 0 | - c_e L^\dagger_\ell H e_r - c^*_e e^\dagger_\ell H^\dagger Q_\ell | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v \langle 0 | - c_e e^\dagger_\ell e_r - c^*_e e^\dagger_\ell e_\ell | 0 \rangle
\]
(3.132)
which gives to the electron the mass
\[
m_e = \frac{|c_e|}{\sqrt{2}} v.
\]
(3.133)

Again, we must add one new (complex) parameter \(c_e\) to get one new mass \(m_e\).

The mass of the up quark requires a new trick. The Higgs field \(H\) transforms under \(SU(2)_\ell \times U(1)\) as
\[
H(x) = \exp \left[ i g \sigma^a \alpha^a(x) + i g' \frac{Y}{2} \beta(x) \right] H(x).
\]
(3.134)
If for clarity, we leave aside the \( U(1) \) part for the moment, then the Higgs field \( H \) transforms under \( SU(2)_\ell \) as

\[
H'(x) = \exp \left[ i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \alpha^a(x) \right] H(x). \tag{3.135}
\]

Let us use \( H^* \) to be the complex column vector whose components are \( H_1^\dagger \) and \( H_2^\dagger \). How does \( \sigma_2 H^* \) transform under \( SU(2)_\ell \)? Suppressing our explicit mention of the space-time dependence and using the asterisk to mean hermitian conjugation when applied to operators but complex conjugation when applied to matrices and vectors, we have, since \( \sigma_2 \) is imaginary with \( \sigma_2^2 = I \) while \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) are real,

\[
(\sigma_2 H^*)' = \sigma_2 \left[ \exp \left( i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \alpha^a \right) H \right]^* = \sigma_2 \exp \left( -i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \alpha^a \right) H^*
\]

\[
= \sigma_2 \exp \left( -i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \alpha^a \right) \sigma_2 \sigma_2 H^* = \exp \left( i g \frac{\sigma^a}{2} \alpha^a \right) \sigma_2 H^*. \tag{3.136}
\]

Thus, the term

\[
- c_u Q^\dagger_\ell \sigma_2 H^* u_r - c_u^* u^\dagger_\ell H^T \sigma_2 Q \ell
\]

is invariant under \( SU(2)_\ell \). In the vacuum of the unitary gauge, it is

\[
\langle 0 | - c_u Q^\dagger_\ell \sigma_2 H^* u_r - c_u^* u^\dagger_\ell H^T \sigma_2 Q \ell |0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v \langle 0 | i c_u u^\dagger_\ell u_r - i c_u^* u^\dagger_\ell u_\ell |0 \rangle
\]

which gives the up quark the mass

\[
m_u = \frac{|c_u|}{\sqrt{2}} v. \tag{3.138}
\]

Analogous terms can give masses to neutrinos. But why are the constants \( c_\nu \) smaller by \( 10^6 \)?

But there are three families of generations of quarks and leptons on which the gauge fields act simply:

\[
F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}', \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \\ \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}', \quad \text{and} \quad F_3 = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \\ \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}'. \tag{3.140}
\]
The quark and lepton flavor families are
\[ Q_1' = \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ d \end{array} \right)', \quad Q_2' = \left( \begin{array}{c} c \\ s \end{array} \right)', \quad \text{and} \quad Q_3' = \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ b \end{array} \right)'. \]
\[ L_1' = \left( \begin{array}{c} \nu_e \\ e \end{array} \right)', \quad L_2' = \left( \begin{array}{c} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{array} \right)', \quad \text{and} \quad L_3' = \left( \begin{array}{c} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{array} \right)'. \]
These are called the flavor eigenstates or more properly flavor eigenfields, designated here with primes. They are the ones on which the $W^\pm$ act simply.

The weak interactions use $W^- u_T$ to map the flavor up fields $u_1' = u', \quad u_2' = c', \quad u_3' = t'$ into the flavor down fields $d_1' = d', \quad d_2' = s', \quad d_3' = b'$, and $W^+ u_T$ to map the flavor down fields $d_i'$ into the flavor up fields $u_i'$.

The action density
\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{dij} Q_{li}^d H d_{rj}^d - c_{dij}^* d_{li}^d H^\dagger Q_{ri}^d \] (3.142)
gives for the $d'$, $s'$, and $b'$ quarks the mixed mass terms
\[ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{dij} d_{li}^d d_{rj}^d - c_{dij}^* d_{li}^d d_{rj}^d. \] (3.143)

The $3 \times 3$ mass matrix $M_d$ with entries
\[ [M_d]_{ij} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} c_{dij} \] (3.144)
need have no special properties. It need not be hermitian because for each $i$ and $j$, the term (3.143) is hermitian. But every $3 \times 3$ complex matrix has a singular-value decomposition
\[ M_d = L_d \Sigma_d R_d^\dagger \] (3.145)
in which $L_d$ and $R_d$ are $3 \times 3$ unitary matrices, and $\Sigma_d$ is a $3 \times 3$ diagonal matrix with nonincreasing positive singular values on its main diagonal.

The singular value decomposition works for any $N \times M$ (real or) complex matrix. Every complex $M \times N$ rectangular matrix $A$ is the product of an $M \times M$ unitary matrix $U$, an $M \times N$ rectangular matrix $\Sigma$ that is zero except on its main diagonal which consists of its nonnegative singular values $S_k$, and an $N \times N$ unitary matrix $V^\dagger$
\[ A = U \Sigma V^\dagger. \] (3.146)
This singular-value decomposition is a key theorem of matrix algebra. One
can use the *Matlab* command “[U,S,V] = svd(A)” to perform the svd \( A = USV^\dagger \).

The singular values of \( \Sigma_d \) are the masses \( m_b \), \( m_s \), and \( m_d \):

\[
\Sigma_d = \begin{pmatrix}
  m_b & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & m_s & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & m_d \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(3.147)

So the mass eigenfields of the left and right down-quark fields are

\[
d_{ri} = R_{di,j}d_{rj} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{li}^\dagger = d_{ij}^\dagger L_{dji} \quad \text{or} \quad d_{li}^\dagger = L_{dij}d_{lj}.
\]

(3.148)

The inverse relations are

\[
d_{ri}^\dagger = R_{di,j}d_{rj} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{li}^\dagger = d_{ij}^\dagger L_{dji} \quad \text{or} \quad d_{li}^\dagger = L_{dij}d_{lj}.
\]

(3.149)

or in matrix notation

\[
d_{r}^\dagger = R_{d}d_{r}, \quad d_{l}^\dagger = d_{d}^\dagger R_{d}, \quad d_{l}^\dagger = d_{d}^\dagger L_{d}, \quad \text{and} \quad d_{l}^\dagger = L_{d}d_{l}
\]

(3.150)

in which

\[
d_{l}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix}
  b \\
  s \\
  d_{l}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.151)

are the down-quark fields of definite masses.

Similarly, the *up* quark action density

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{uij}Q_{\ell i}^\dagger \sigma_2 H^* u_{rj}^\prime - c_{uij}^* u_{rj}^\dagger H^\dagger \sigma_2 Q_{\ell i}^\prime
\]

(3.152)

gives for the three known families the mixed mass terms

\[
\frac{iv}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{uij}u_{ri}^\dagger u_{rj}^\prime - c_{uij}^* u_{rj}^\dagger u_{ri}^\prime.
\]

(3.153)

The \( 3 \times 3 \) mass matrix \( M_u \) with entries

\[
[M_u]_{ij} = \frac{iv}{\sqrt{2}} c_{uij}
\]

(3.154)

need have no special properties. It need not be hermitian because for each \( i \) and \( j \), the term (3.153) is hermitian. But every \( 3 \times 3 \) complex matrix \( M_u \) has a singular value decomposition

\[
M_u = L_u \Sigma_u R_u^\dagger
\]

(3.155)

in which \( L_u \) and \( R_u \) are \( 3 \times 3 \) unitary matrices, and \( \Sigma_u \) is a \( 3 \times 3 \) diagonal
matrix with nonincreasing positive singular values on its main diagonal. These singular values are the masses $m_t$, $m_c$, and $m_u$:

$$
\Sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix}
m_t & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m_c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & m_u
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(3.156)

So the mass eigenfields of the left and right up-quark fields are

$$
u_{ri} = R_{uij}^\dagger u_{rj}^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad u^\dagger_{ti} = u_{tj}^\dagger L_{uij} \quad \text{or} \quad u_{ti} = L_{uij}^\dagger u_{rj}.
$$

(3.157)

The inverse relations are

$$
u_{ri} = R_{uij} u_{rj} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{ti}^\dagger = u_{tj}^\dagger R_{uij}^\dagger \quad \text{or} \quad u_{ti} = L_{uij} u_{rj}.
$$

(3.158)

or in matrix notation

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\nu_r \\
u^\dagger_r
\end{pmatrix} = R
\begin{pmatrix}
u_l \\
u^\dagger_l
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{pmatrix}
\nu_l \\
u^\dagger_l
\end{pmatrix} = L
\begin{pmatrix}
u_r \\
u^\dagger_r
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3.159)

in which

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
u_l \\
u^\dagger_l
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ c \\ u \ell \end{pmatrix}
$$

(3.160)

are the up-quark fields of definite masses.

### 3.10 CKM Matrix

We will use the labels $u$, $c$, $t$ and $d$, $s$, $b$ for the states that are eigenstates of the quadratic part of the Hamiltonian after the Higgs mechanism has given a mean value to the real part of the neutral Higgs boson in the unitary gauge. The $u$, $c$, $t$ quarks have the same charge $2e/3 > 0$ and the same $T^3 = 1/2$, so they all have the same electroweak interactions. Similarly, the $d$, $s$, $b$ quarks have the same charge $-e/3 < 0$ and the same $T^3 = -1/2$, so they also all have the same electroweak interactions.

The right-handed covariant derivative

$$
D_{\mu}^r = i \partial_{\mu} - ie \sin \theta_w \tan \theta_w Z_{\mu} Q + ie A_{\mu} Q
$$

(3.161)

just sends the fields of these mass eigenstates into themselves multiplied by their charge and either a $Z$ or a photon. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu_{ri}^\dagger D_{\mu}^r \nu_{ri} & = \nu_{tj}^\dagger R_{uij}^\dagger R_{\alpha} u_{r \alpha} = \nu_{tj}^\dagger D_{\mu}^r u_{r \alpha} \\
\nu_{ri}^\dagger D_{\mu}^r d_{r \alpha} & = d_{\alpha}^\dagger R_{tij}^\dagger R_{r} d_{\alpha} = d_{\alpha}^\dagger D_{\mu}^r d_{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.162)

In these terms, the interactions of the $Z$ and the photon with the right-handed fields are diagonal both in mass and in flavor.
3.10 CKM Matrix

But the left-handed covariant derivative \((3.110)\) is

\[
D^\ell_\mu = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{e}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_w} (W^\mu_\mu T^+ + W^\mu_- T^-) \\
+ i \frac{e}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu \left( \frac{T^3}{\sin \theta_w} - \sin \theta_w Q \right) + ie A_\mu Q. \tag{3.163}
\]

So we have

\[
\left( u^\ell_i \quad d^\ell_i \right) D^\ell_\mu \left( u^\ell_j \quad d^\ell_j \right) = \left( u^\ell_i L_u \quad d^\ell_i L_d \right) D^\ell_\mu \left( L_u u^\ell_i \quad L_d d^\ell_i \right). \tag{3.164}
\]

Some of the unitary matrices just give unity, \( L_u^\dagger L_u = I \) and \( L_d^\dagger L_d = I \) like \( R_u^\dagger R_u = I \) and \( R_d^\dagger R_d = I \) in the right-handed covariant derivatives \((3.162)\). Thus the interactions of the \( Z \) and the photon with the both the right-handed fields and with the left-handed fields are diagonal both in mass and in flavor. The \( Z \) and the photon do not mediate top-to-charm or charm-to-top or \( \mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \gamma \) decays. Also, the Higgs mass terms are diagonal, so the neutral Higgs boson can’t mediate such processes. \textbf{Thus, in the standard model, there are no flavor-changing neutral-currents.}

The only changes are in the nonzero parts of \( T^\pm \) which become

\[
T^+_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & L_u^\dagger L_d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T^-_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ L_d^\dagger L_u \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ V^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.165}
\]

in which the unitary matrix \( V = L_u^\dagger L_d \) is the CKM matrix (Nicola Cabibbo, Makoto Kobayashi, and Toshihide Maskawa). The left-handed covariant derivative on the mass eigenfields then is

\[
D^\ell_\mu = I \partial_\mu + i \frac{e}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_w} (W^\mu_\mu T^+_{\text{CKM}} + W^\mu_- T^-_{\text{CKM}}) \\
+ i \frac{e}{\cos \theta_w} Z_\mu \left( \frac{T^3}{\sin \theta_w} - \sin \theta_w Q \right) + ie A_\mu Q. \tag{3.166}
\]

It has a second part that acts more or less like the right-handed covariant derivative, but the first part uses \( W^\mu_- T^- \) to map the up fields \( u, c, t \) into linear combinations of the down fields \( d, s, b \) and \( W^\mu_+ T^+ \) to map the down fields into linear combinations of the up fields. The \( W^\pm \) terms are sensitive...
to the CKM matrix $V = L_u^\dagger L_d$. We write them suggestively as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
 u & c & t & d & s & b
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 0 & V W_{\mu}^+ \\
 V^\dagger W_{\mu}^- & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 u \\
 c \\
 t \\
 d \\
 s \\
 b
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(3.167)

$$
= \begin{pmatrix}
 u & c & t & V & (d) & (s) \\
 d & s & b & V & (d) & (s)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 0 & W_{\mu}^+ \\
 W_{\mu}^- & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 u \\
 c \\
 t \\
 d \\
 s \\
 b
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(3.168)

By choosing the phases of the six quark fields, that is, $u(x) \to e^{i\theta_u} u(x)$ $\ldots b(x) \to e^{i\theta_b} b(x)$, one may make the CKM matrix $L_u^\dagger L_d$ real apart from a single phase. The existence of that phase probably is the cause of most of the breakdown of $CP$ invariance that Fitch and Cronin and others have observed since 1964. The magnitudes of the elements of the CKM matrix $V$ are

$$
V = \begin{pmatrix}
 |V_{ud}| & |V_{us}| & |V_{ub}| \\
 |V_{cd}| & |V_{cs}| & |V_{cb}| \\
 |V_{td}| & |V_{ts}| & |V_{tb}|
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 0.97427 & 0.22536 & 0.00355 \\
 0.22522 & 0.97343 & 0.0414 \\
 0.00886 & 0.0405 & 0.99914
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.169)
$$

Although there is only one phase $\exp(i\delta)$ in the CKM matrix $V$, the experimental constraints on this phase often are expressed in terms of the angles $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ defined as

$$
\alpha = \arg \left[ -V_{td} V_{tb}^* / (V_{ud} V_{ub}^*) \right] \\
\beta = \arg \left[ -V_{cd} V_{cb}^* / (V_{td} V_{tb}^*) \right] \\
\gamma = \arg \left[ -V_{ud} V_{ub}^* / (V_{cd} V_{cb}^*) \right]. \quad (3.170)
$$

If $V$ is unitary, then $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 180^\circ$. From $B \to \pi\pi$, $\rho\pi$, and $\rho\rho$ decays, the limits on the angle $\alpha$ are roughly

$$
\alpha = (85.4 \pm 4)^\circ. \quad (3.171)
$$

From $B^\pm \to DK^\pm$ decays, the limits on the angle $\gamma$ are roughly

$$
\gamma = (68.0 \pm 8)^\circ. \quad (3.172)
$$
3.11 Lepton Masses

So the angle $\beta$ is about 26.6°.

One of the quark-Higgs interactions is

\[ -c_{dij} Q_{ij}^d H d_{rj} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} Q_{ij}^d M_d d_{rj} H = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} Q_{ij}^d L_d \Sigma_d R_{ij}^d d_{rj} H \]

\[ = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} Q_{ij}^d \Sigma_d d_r H = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} Q_{ij}^d \left( \frac{0}{(v + h)/\sqrt{2}} \right) \Sigma_d d_r \]

\[ = -d_i^l \left( 1 + \frac{h}{v} \right) \Sigma_d d_r = -m_{di} d_{i\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{h}{v} \right) d_{ri}. \quad (3.173) \]

A similar term describes the coupling of the up quarks to the Higgs

\[ -m_{ui} u_{i\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{h}{v} \right) u_{ri}. \quad (3.174) \]

Thus, the rate of quark-antiquark to Higgs is proportional to the mass of the quark in the standard model.

### 3.11 Lepton Masses

We can treat the leptons just like the quarks. The up leptons are the flavor neutrinos $\nu_e'$, $\nu_{\mu}'$, and $\nu_\tau'$, and the down leptons are the flavor charged leptons $e'$, $\mu'$, and $\tau'$. The action density

\[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{eij} L_{ij}^e H e_{rj} - c_{eij}^* c_{rj}^l L_{i\ell} \]

\[ = -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{eij} e_{i\ell} e_{rj} - c_{eij}^* e_{rj} e_{i\ell}. \quad (3.175) \]

The 3 × 3 mass matrix $M_e$ with entries

\[ [M_e]_{ij} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} c_{eij} \]

\[ (3.177) \]

has a singular value decomposition

\[ M_e = L_e \Sigma_e R_e^\dagger \]

\[ (3.178) \]

in which $L_e$ and $R_e$ are 3 × 3 unitary matrices, and $\Sigma_e$ is a 3 × 3 diagonal matrix with nonincreasing positive singular values $m_\tau$, $m_\mu$, and $m_e$ on its main diagonal.
3.12 Neutrino Masses

Before spontaneous symmetry breaking, all the fields of the standard model are massless, and the local symmetry under $SU(2)_\ell \otimes U(1)$ is exact. Under these gauge transformations, the left-handed electron and neutrino fields are rotated among themselves. If $e'_\ell$ is a linear combination of itself and of $\nu'_{e\ell}$, then these two fields, $e'_\ell$ and $\nu'_{e\ell}$, must be of the same kind. The left-handed electron field is a Dirac field. Thus, the left-handed neutrino field also must be a Dirac field. This makes sense because before symmetry breaking, all the fields are massless, and so there is no problem combining two Majorana fields of the same mass, namely zero, into one Dirac field. Thus, there are three Dirac neutrino fields, one for each family $\nu'_{e\ell}$, $\nu'_{\mu\ell}$, and $\nu'_{\tau\ell}$.

A massless left-handed neutrino field $\nu_\ell$ satisfies the two-component Dirac equation

$$ (\partial_0 I - \nabla \cdot \sigma) \nu_\ell(x) = 0 \quad (3.179) $$

which in momentum space is

$$ (E + p \cdot \sigma) \nu_\ell(p) = 0. \quad (3.180) $$

Since the angular momentum is $J = \sigma/2$, and $E = |p|$, we have

$$ \hat{p} \cdot J \nu_\ell(p) = -\frac{1}{2} \nu_\ell(p). \quad (3.181) $$

The left-handed neutrino field $\nu_\ell$ annihilates neutrinos of negative helicity and creates antineutrinos of positive helicity.

Since the neutrinos are massive, there may be right-handed neutrino fields. As for the up quarks, we can use them to make an action density

$$ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} -c_{\nu ij} L_{\ell i}^\dagger \sigma_2 H^* \nu_{r j} - c_{\nu ij}^* L_{\ell i}^\dagger H^T \sigma_2 L_{r j}^\dagger \quad (3.182) $$

that is invariant under $SU(2)_\ell \otimes U(1)$ and that gives for the neutrinos the mixed mass terms

$$ \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{i v}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{\nu ij} \nu_{e\ell}^\dagger \nu_{\mu j} - c_{\nu ij}^* \nu_{e\ell}^\dagger \nu_{\mu j}^\dagger). \quad (3.183) $$

The $3 \times 3$ mass matrix $M_\nu$ with entries

$$ [M_\nu]_{ij} = \frac{i v}{\sqrt{2}} c_{\nu ij} \quad (3.184) $$

has a singular value decomposition

$$ M_\nu = L_\nu \Sigma_\nu R_\nu^T \quad (3.185) $$
in which $L_\nu$ and $R_\nu$ are $3 \times 3$ unitary matrices, and $\Sigma_\nu$ is a $3 \times 3$ diagonal matrix with nonincreasing positive singular values $m_\nu$, $m_{\nu_1}$, and $m_{\nu_2}$ on its main diagonal (here, I have assumed that the neutrino masses mimic those of the charged leptons and quarks, rising with family number). The neutrino CKM matrix then would be $L^\dagger_\nu L_e$, but since we are accustomed to treating the charged leptons as flavor and mass eigenfields, we apply the neutrino CKM matrix to the neutrinos rather than to the charged leptons. Thus the neutrino CKM matrix is

$$V_\nu = L^\dagger_\nu L_\nu.$$  (3.186)

By choosing the phases of the six lepton fields, we can make the neutrino CKM matrix real except for $CP$-breaking phases. If the neutrinos are Dirac fields, then there is one such phase; if not, there are three.

### 3.13 Other Mass Terms

Under a Lorentz transformation $z$, a left-handed field $\ell$ goes as

$$\ell' = e^{-z \cdot \sigma} \ell$$  (3.187)

and a right-handed field $r$ goes as

$$r' = e^{z \cdot \sigma} r.$$  (3.188)

A Dirac mass term looks like this

$$L_m = - m \bar{\psi} \psi = -m(\ell^\dagger, r^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix} = -m(\ell^\dagger r + r^\dagger \ell).$$  (3.189)

It is Lorentz invariant because

$$\ell^\dagger r' = \ell^\dagger e^{-z \cdot \sigma} e^{z \cdot \sigma} \ell = \ell^\dagger r.$$  (3.190)

If $\ell$ is left handed, then $\rho = \sigma_2 \ell^*$ is right handed because

$$\rho' = \sigma_2 \ell'^* = \sigma_2 (e^{-z \cdot \sigma} \ell)^* = \sigma_2 e^{-z \cdot \sigma^*} \ell^*$$

$$= \sigma_2 e^{-z^* \cdot \sigma^*} \sigma_2 \sigma_2 \ell^* = e^{z^* \cdot \sigma} \rho$$  (3.191)

which is how right-handed fields go. Similarly if $r$ is right handed, then $\lambda = \sigma_2 r^*$ is left handed. So since $\ell^\dagger r$ and $r^\dagger \ell$ are Lorentz invariant, so too are $\lambda^\dagger r = r^\dagger \sigma_2 r$ and $\rho^\dagger \ell = \ell^\dagger \sigma_2 \ell$.

So if we split a complex Dirac field

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix}$$  (3.192)
into its components
\[ \ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\ell_1 + i\ell_2) \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r_1 + ir_2), \] (3.193)
then its Dirac mass term is
\[ L_m = -\frac{m}{2}\left[ (\ell^\dagger_1 - i\ell^\dagger_2)(r_1 + ir_2) + (r^\dagger_1 - ir^\dagger_2)(\ell_1 + i\ell_2) \right]. \] (3.194)
If the field is Majorana, then its Dirac mass term is
\[ L_m = -\frac{m}{2}(\ell^\dagger r + r^\dagger \ell). \] (3.195)
A Majorana field
\[ \psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix} \] (3.196)
describes a particle that is its own antiparticle and so has an expansion in which the creation operators are the adjoints of the annihilation operators
\[ \psi(x) = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[ u(\vec{p},s) e^{ip\cdot x} a(\vec{p},s) + v(\vec{p},s) e^{-ip\cdot x} a^\dagger(\vec{p},s) \right]. \] (3.197)
Dirac spinors obey the Majorana conditions
\[ u(p,s) = \gamma^2 v^*(p,s) \quad \text{and} \quad v(p,s) = \gamma^2 u^*(p,s) \] (3.198)
and so a Majorana field obeys the Majorana conditions
\[ \psi^* = \gamma^2 \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \gamma^2 \psi^*. \] (3.199)
Since
\[ \gamma^2 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \] (3.200)
these conditions (3.199) say that
\[ \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell^* \\ r^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2 r^* \\ i\sigma_2 \ell^* \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.201)
So a Majorana field can be written entirely in terms of its left- or right-handed components
\[ \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ i\sigma_2 \ell^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2 r^* \\ r \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.202)
We can form many Lorentz-invariant terms
\[ \ell_i \ell_j, \quad r_i^\dagger \ell_j, \quad \ell_i^\dagger \sigma_2 \ell_j, \quad \text{and} \quad r_i^\dagger \sigma_2 r_j. \] (3.203)
3.14 Effective Field Theories

We can form a seesaw mass term like

\[
\left( \ell_1^\dagger \mathrm{m} \right) \left( \ell_2^\dagger \mathrm{M} \right) \left( \ell_1 \right) \left( \ell_2 \right) \tag{3.204}
\]

which has mass eigenvalues that are approximately \( M \) and \( m^2/M \). This is one form of the seesaw mechanism. Other seesaw mass terms are

\[
\left( \ell_1^\dagger \sigma_2 \right) \left( \ell_2^\dagger \sigma_2 \right) \left( \ell_1 \right) \left( \ell_2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( r_1^\dagger \sigma_2 \right) \left( r_2^\dagger \sigma_2 \right) \left( r_1 \right) \left( r_2 \right). \tag{3.205}
\]

Another possibility is to say that a new field of very high mass \( M \) plays a role, and that when one path-integrates over this heavy field, one is left with a term in the action

\[
\frac{g^2}{M} \bar{\psi} \bar{H} \sigma_2 H^\dagger \sigma_2 \psi \tag{3.206}
\]

that gives a tiny mass to \( \nu \). Here’s how this can work: take as part of the action density of the high-energy theory

\[
L_\psi = -\bar{\psi}(\bar{\Phi} + M)\psi + g \bar{\psi} H^\dagger \sigma_2 L + g \bar{\sigma}_2 \psi \tag{3.207}
\]

where \( M \) is huge. Drop \( \bar{\phi} \) and complete the square:

\[
L_{\psi0} = -M \left( \bar{\psi} - \frac{g}{M} \bar{\sigma}_2 H^\dagger \psi \right) + g^2 \bar{\sigma}_2 H^\dagger \sigma_2 L. \tag{3.208}
\]

The path integral over the field \( \psi \) of mass \( M \) yields a field-independent constant and leaves in the action the term

\[
L_\nu = \frac{g^2}{M} \bar{\sigma}_2 H^\dagger \sigma_2 L. \tag{3.209}
\]

Replacing the Higgs field by its mean value in the vacuum, we have

\[
L_\nu = \frac{g^2 v^2}{2M} \bar{\nu} \nu. \tag{3.210}
\]

If the neutrino field \( \nu \) is a Dirac field, then this is a Dirac mass term

\[
\bar{\nu} \nu = -\frac{m}{2} \left[ (\ell_1^\dagger - i\ell_2^\dagger)(r_1 + ir_2) + (r_1^\dagger - i\ell_2^\dagger)(\ell_1 + i\ell_2) \right]. \tag{3.211}
\]

If the neutrino field \( \nu \) is a Majorana field, then this is a Majorana mass term

\[
\bar{\nu} \nu = -m(\ell^\dagger r + r^\dagger \ell) = -im (r^\dagger \sigma_2 r - \ell^\dagger \sigma_2 \ell). \tag{3.212}
\]
In both cases, the mass is intrinsically tiny

\[ m_\nu \sim \frac{g^2 v^2}{2M}. \]  

(3.213)

3.15 Neutrino Oscillations

The phase of a particle of energy \( E \) and momentum \( p \) going a distance \( L \) in a time \( t \) is \( \exp(i(pL - Et)/\hbar) \). Neutrinos are nearly massless and go at nearly the speed of light, hence \( cm/p \approx 0 \) and \( t \approx L/c \). These excellent approximations give

\[ pL - Et = pL - \sqrt{c^2p^2 + c^4m^2} L/c = pL - pL\sqrt{1 + c^2m^2/p^2} \approx -\frac{c^3 m^2 L}{2p}. \]

(3.214)

Since \( E \approx cp \), the phase difference \( \Delta \phi \) between two such neutrinos varies with their masses \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) as

\[ \Delta \phi = -\frac{c^3 (m_1^2 - m_2^2) L}{\hbar E} = -\frac{c^3 \Delta m^2 L}{\hbar E}. \]

(3.215)

3.16 Experimental Results

Models with both right-handed and left-handed neutrinos are easier to think about, but only experiments can tell us whether right-handed neutrinos exist.

What is known experimentally is that there are at least three masses that satisfy

\[ |\Delta m^2_{21}| \equiv |m_2^2 - m_1^2| = (7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \]

(3.216)

\[ |\Delta m^2_{32}| \equiv |m_3^2 - m_2^2| = (2.44 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \quad \text{normal mass hierarchy} \]

\[ |\Delta m^2_{32}| \equiv |m_3^2 - m_2^2| = (2.52 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \quad \text{inverted mass hierarchy}. \]

If the neutrinos are Dirac particles, then they have a CKM matrix like that of the quarks with one \( CP \)-violating phase. But whereas one chooses to make the mass and flavor eigenfields the same for the up quarks \( u, c, t \), for the leptons one makes the mass and flavor eigenfields the same for the down or charged leptons \( e, \mu, \tau \). So the neutrino CKM matrix actually is \( V = L\nu L_u \). If they are three Majorana particles, then their CKM matrix has two extra \( CP \)-violating phases \( \alpha_{12} \) and \( \alpha_{31} \). A common convention for the
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Readers may wish to skip the rest of this chapter.

So far, I have assumed that the mass terms for the neutrinos are the usual Dirac mass terms. However, the right-handed Majorana neutrino fields $\nu^c$ are not affected by the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$.

Note that a gauge transformation between $e$ and $\nu_e$ rotates the operators $a(p, s, e)$ and $a(p, s, \nu_e)$ into each other. This rotation makes sense only when the two particles have the same mass. In the standard model, such a gauge transformation makes sense only before symmetry breaking when all the particles are massless. Moreover, only when the particles are massless can one say that they are left- or right-handed. While the particles are massless, the operator $a(p, -)$ annihilates a particle of negative helicity and occurs only in a left-handed field, while the operator $a(p, +)$ annihilates a particle of positive helicity and occurs only in a right-handed

The neutrino CKM matrix is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13} e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha_{12}/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\alpha_{31}/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.217)$$

This convention without the last $3 \times 3$ matrix also is used for the quark CKM matrix. The current estimates are

$$\sin^2(2\theta_{12}) = 0.846 \pm 0.021 \quad (3.218)$$

$$\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 0.999^{+0.001}_{-0.018} \quad \text{normal mass hierarchy} \quad (3.219)$$

$$\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 1.000^{+0.000}_{-0.017} \quad \text{inverted mass hierarchy} \quad (3.220)$$

$$\sin^2(2\theta_{13}) = 0.093 \pm 0.008. \quad (3.221)$$

Two of these are big angles: $2\theta_{12} \approx 2\theta_{23} = \pi/2 \pm n\pi$. In the normal hierarchy, the lightest neutrino is about $2/3$ electron, $1/6$ muon, and $1/6$ tau; the very slightly heavier neutrino is about $1/3$ electron, $1/3$ muon, and $1/3$ tau; and the much heavier heavier neutrino is about $1/6$ electron, $5/12$ muon, and $5/12$ tau.
field. But when the particles are massive, the operator \( a(p, \frac{1}{2}) \) annihilates a particle that is spin up and occurs in both left-handed and right-handed fields. So a symmetry transformation that acted on the operator \( a(p, \frac{1}{2}) \) would change both left-handed and right-handed fields.

The left-handed fields of the neutrino and electron are

\[
\nu_{e,\ell}(x) = \int u(p, -) \frac{a_1(p, -, \nu_e) + ia_2(p, -, \nu_e)}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ipx} + v(p, +) \frac{a_1^\dagger(p, +, \nu_e) + ia_2^\dagger(p, +, \nu_e)}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-ipx} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}
\]

and

\[
e_{\ell}(x) = \int u(p, -) \frac{a_1(p, -, e) + ia_2(p, -, e)}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ipx} + v(p, +) \frac{a_1^\dagger(p, +, e) + ia_2^\dagger(p, +, e)}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-ipx} \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}
\]

where \((p, -, \nu_e)\) means momentum \(p\), spin down, and electron flavor, and \((p, +, \nu_e)\) means momentum \(p\), spin up, and electron flavor.

These fields satisfy equations like (3.179–3.181) apart from their interactions with other fields. Since a gauge transformation maps the fields \(\nu_{e,\ell}(x)\) and \(e_{\ell}(x)\) into each other, we know that when all the fields are massless, before symmetry breaking, there are (for each momentum) at least two neutrino and antineutrino states

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ a_1^\dagger(p, -, \nu_e) - ia_2^\dagger(p, -, \nu_e) \right] |0\rangle
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ a_1^\dagger(p, +, \nu_e) + ia_2^\dagger(p, +, \nu_e) \right] |0\rangle
\]

for each of the three flavors, \(f = e, \mu, \tau\). So there are at least six neutrino (and antineutrino) states.

The right-handed electron field exists and interacts with gauge bosons and other fields. So there are 12 electron states \(a_i^\dagger(p, \pm, e_f)|0\rangle\) for \(i = 1, 2\) and for the three flavors, \(f = e, \mu, \tau\). We don’t know yet whether a right-handed neutrino field exists or interacts with other fields. So there may be only 6 neutrino states or as many as 12.

Neutrino oscillations tell us that neutrinos have masses. If there are 12 neutrino states, then there can be three massive Dirac neutrinos analogous to the \(e, \mu, \tau\) or six massive Majorana neutrinos or some intermediate
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If there are only 6, then there can be 3 massive Majorana neutrinos. The Majorana mass terms for the right-handed neutrino fields are

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{6} \frac{1}{2} \left[ im_{ij} \nu'^{T}_{ri} \sigma_{2} \nu'_{rj} + (im_{ij} \nu'^{T}_{ri} \sigma_{2} \nu'_{rj})^{\dagger} \right]. \tag{3.226}$$

They are Lorentz invariant because under the Lorentz transformations (3.125)

$$\nu''_{r} = \nu_{r} \exp(z^{\ast} \cdot \sigma_{T}) \sigma_{2} \exp(-z^{\ast} \cdot \sigma_{T}) \nu'_{r}. \tag{3.227}$$

The Majorana mass terms (3.226) are unrelated to the scale \(v\) of the Higgs field’s mean value. One can show that the complex matrix \(m_{ij}\) is symmetric. One then must combine the mass matrix in (3.226) with the mass matrix \(M_{\nu}\) in (3.184). The resulting mass matrix will have a singular-value decomposition with six singular values that would be the masses of the “physical” neutrinos. If these six masses are equal in pairs, then the three pairs would form three Dirac neutrinos.

Whether or not there are right-handed neutrinos, we can make Majorana mass terms like \(\nu^{T}_{r} \sigma_{2} \nu_{r}\), which are Lorentz invariant but not invariant under \(SU_{\ell}(2)\) or \(U_{Y}(1)\). We can make them gauge invariant by using a triplet \(\vec{\phi} = \sigma_{i} \phi_{i}\) of Higgs fields that transforms as \(\vec{\phi}' \cdot \vec{\sigma} = g(\vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\sigma})g^{\dagger}\) for \(g \in SU_{\ell}(2)\) and that carries a value of \(Y = -1\). Then if \(\sigma_{2}\) has Lorentz indices and \(\sigma_{2}'\) has \(SU_{\ell}(2)\) indices, the term

$$L_{\ell}^{T} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{2}'(\vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) L_{\ell} \tag{3.228}$$

is both Lorentz invariant and gauge invariant. If the potential \(V(\vec{\phi})\) has minima at \(\vec{\phi} \neq 0\), then this term violates lepton number and gives a Majorana mass to the neutrino.

### 3.17.1 Seesaw Mechanism

Why are the neutrino masses so light? Suppose we wish to find the eigenvalues of the real, symmetric mass matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ m & M \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.229}$$

in which \(m\) is an ordinary mass and \(M\) is a huge mass. The eigenvalues \(\mu\) of this hermitian mass matrix satisfy \(\det(\mathcal{M} - \mu I) = \mu(\mu - M) - m^{2} = 0\) with solutions \(\mu_{\pm} = \left(M \pm \sqrt{M^{2} + 4m^{2}}\right) / 2\). The larger mass \(\mu_{+} \approx M + m^{2} / M\) is
approximately the huge mass $M$ and the smaller mass $\mu_\approx -m^2/M$ is tiny. The physical mass of a fermion is the absolute value of its mass parameter, here $m^2/M$.

The product of the two eigenvalues is the constant $\mu_+\mu_- = \det M = -m^2$ so as $\mu_-$ goes down, $\mu_+$ must go up. In 1975, Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky, and Jerry Stephenson invented this “seesaw” mechanism as an explanation of why neutrinos have such small masses, less than 1 eV/$c^2$. If $mc^2 = 10$ MeV, and $\mu_-c^2 \approx 0.01$ eV, which is a plausible light-neutrino mass, then the rest energy of the huge mass would be $Mc^2 = 10^7$ GeV. This huge mass would be one of the six neutrino masses and would point at new physics, beyond the standard model. Yet the small masses of the neutrinos may be related to the weakness of their interactions.

Before leaving the subject of fermion masses, let’s look more closely at Dirac and Majorana mass terms. A Dirac field is a linear combination of two Majorana fields of the same mass

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} L + i\ell \\ R + ir \end{pmatrix}$$ (3.230)

in which $L$ and $\ell$ are two-component left-handed spinors, and $R$ and $r$ are two-component right-handed spinors. The Dirac mass term

$$m \bar{\psi} \psi = i m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi = m \psi^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \psi$$

$$= m \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} L^\dagger - i\ell^\dagger \\ R^\dagger - ir^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L + i\ell \\ R + ir \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= m \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} L^\dagger - i\ell^ \dagger \\ R^\dagger - ir^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R + ir \\ L + i\ell \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= m \frac{1}{2} \left[ (L^\dagger - i\ell) (R + ir) + (R^\dagger - ir^\dagger) (L + i\ell) \right]$$ (3.231)

in which h.c. means hermitian conjugate, gives mass $m$ to the particle and antiparticle of the Dirac field $\psi$.

We may set

$$R = i\sigma_2 L^* \iff L = -i\sigma_2 R^*$$ (3.232)

$$r = i\sigma_2 \ell^* \iff \ell = -i\sigma_2 r^*$$ (3.233)
or equivalently
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
R_1 \\
R_2
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
L_2^\dagger \\
-L_1^\dagger
\end{pmatrix}
\iff
\begin{pmatrix}
L_1 \\
L_2
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
-R_2^\dagger \\
R_1^\dagger
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.235}
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
r_1 \\
r_2
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell_1^\dagger \\
-\ell_1^\dagger
\end{pmatrix}
\iff
\begin{pmatrix}
\ell_1 \\
\ell_2
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
-r_2^\dagger \\
r_1^\dagger
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{3.236}
\]
(3.237)
which are the Majorana conditions. Since \( R_\dagger = -iL^T \sigma_2 \), we can write the Dirac mass term \([3.231]\) in terms of left-handed fields as
\[
\bar{\psi} \psi = \frac{1}{2} m \left( -i L^T - \ell^T \right) \sigma_2 (L + i\ell) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.238}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} m \left( L^T - i\ell^T \right) (-i \sigma_2) (L + i\ell) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.239}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} m \left( L_1 - i\ell_1, \ L_2 - i\ell_2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_1 + i\ell_1 \\ L_2 + i\ell_2 \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.240}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} m \left( L_1 - i\ell_1, \ L_2 - i\ell_2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} -L_2 - i\ell_2 \\ L_1 + i\ell_1 \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.241}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} m \left( L_1 - i\ell_1 \right) (-L_2 - i\ell_2) + (L_2 - i\ell_2) (L_1 + i\ell_1) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.242}
\]
The fermion fields anticommute, so the Dirac mass term is
\[
\bar{\psi} \psi = \frac{1}{2} m \left( -2L_1L_2 - 2\ell_1\ell_2 \right) + \text{h.c.} = -m \left( L_1L_2 + \ell_1\ell_2 \right) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.243}
\]
and it says that the fields \( L \) and \( \ell \) have the same mass \( m \), as they must if they are to form a Dirac field.

Since \( L^\dagger = iR^T \sigma_2 \), we also can write the Dirac mass term in terms of the right-handed fields as
\[
\bar{\psi} \psi = \frac{1}{2} m \left( R^T - ir^T \right) i\sigma_2 (R + ir) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.244}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} m \left( R_1 - ir_1, \ R_2 - ir_2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 + ir_1 \\ R_2 + ir_2 \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.245}
\]
\[
= m \left( R_1R_2 + r_1r_2 \right) + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.246}
\]
So the fields \( R \) and \( r \) have the same mass \( m \), as they must if they are to form a Dirac field.

The Majorana mass term for a right-handed field \( r \) of mass \( m \) evidently is
\[
m r_1 r_2 + \text{h.c.} \tag{3.247}
\]
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4.1 Grand Unification

The success of the electroweak unification of the standard model led physicists in the 1970s to propose what they called grand unification. Their goal was to unify the electroweak and the strong interactions.

Howard Georgi and Sheldon Glashow made the first attempt in 1974. They chose the group $SU(5)$ which with 24 generators is big enough to house $SU_c(3) \otimes SU_l(2) \otimes U_Y(1)$ and its 12 generators.

Compact internal-symmetry groups can’t rotate left-handed fields into right-handed fields. So the first problem they overcame was how to combine transformations $SU_l(2)$ that act only on left-handed fields with ones $SU_c(3)$ that act on both left- and right-handed fields. They solved that problem by writing all fields as left-handed fields. Recall for instance that if $u_r$ is a right-handed up-quark field, that is if it transforms like

$$u'_r = \exp(\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) u_r$$

then

$$u'_c = \sigma_2 u^*_r$$

is left-handed, that is, it transforms as

$$(u'_c)' = \sigma_2 (u'_r)^* = \sigma_2 [\exp(\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) u_r]^*$$

$$= \sigma_2 \exp(\vec{z}^* \cdot \vec{\sigma}^*) u^*_r = \exp(-\vec{z}^* \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sigma_2 u^*_r$$

$$= \exp(-\vec{z}^* \cdot \vec{\sigma}) u'_c$$

because

$$\sigma_2 \vec{z}^* \cdot \vec{\sigma}^* = -\vec{z}^* \cdot \vec{\sigma} \sigma_2.$$  

So they wrote all the fields as left-handed fields. They had 15 left-handed
Fermi fields in each generation; at that time, only two generations were
known. Since all 15 fields are left handed, we may drop the subscript $\ell$.
They had $u_r$, $u_g$, $u_b$, $d_r$, $d_g$, $d_b$, $e$, $\nu = \nu_e$, $u_r^c$, $u_g^c$, $u_b^c$, $d_r^c$, $d_g^c$, $d_b^c$, and $e^c$.
They left out $\nu^c$ which is a right-handed neutrino and took the neutrinos
to be massless. (The physics community had not yet accepted Ray Davis’s
late-1960s discovery of neutrino oscillations.)

Georgi and Glashow put the 15 left-handed quark and lepton fields into
the $5^*$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  d_r^c \\
  d_g^c \\
  d_b^c \\
  e \\
  \nu
\end{pmatrix}$$

(4.7)

and a 10

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & u_b^c & -u_g^c & -u_r & -d_r \\
  -u_g^c & 0 & u_r^c & -u_b & -d_g \\
  u_r & u_g & u_b & 0 & -e^c \\
  d_r & d_g & d_b & e^c & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

(4.8)

and introduced 13 new gauge bosons $Y_r^\mu$, $Y_g^\mu$, $Y_b^\mu$, $Y_r^{\epsilon\mu}$, $Y_g^{\epsilon\mu}$, $Y_b^{\epsilon\mu}$; $X_r^\mu$, $X_g^\mu$, $X_b^\mu$, $X_r^{\epsilon\mu}$, $X_g^{\epsilon\mu}$, $X_b^{\epsilon\mu}$; and $A^\mu$.

All the generators of $SU(5)$ are traceless matrices. Thus the diagonalized
charge operator $Q$ is traceless. In the $5^*$ representation, the sum of its
diagonal elements must vanish:

$$q(d_r^c) + q(d_g^c) + q(d_b^c) + q(e) + q(\nu) = 0.$$  

(4.9)

The neutrino is neutral, and the charges of the antidown quarks are color
independent. Thus

$$3 q(d^c) = -q(e)$$

(4.10)

or $q(d^c) = |e|/3$.

But gauge theories with quarks and antiquarks, leptons and antileptons
in the same multiplet have gauge bosons that mediate changes of quark
and lepton number. Putting quarks and antiquarks into the same multiplet
means that nucleons are unstable. The proton is a colorless $s$-state of $u_r$,
$u_g$, and $u_b$. The processes $u_g + d_b \rightarrow Y_r^c$ and $Y_r^c \rightarrow u_r^c + e^c$ lead to proton
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decay:

\[ p = u_r + u_g + d_b \rightarrow u_r + Y_{r}^{c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

\[ u_r + Y_{r}^{c} \rightarrow u_r + u_r^{c} + e^{c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

\[ u_r + u_r^{c} + e^{c} \rightarrow \pi^{0} + e^{+}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

Other processes lead to other modes of proton decay and to the decay of neutrons in otherwise stable nuclei. The lifetime \( \tau_{p} \) of the proton is proportional to the fourth power of the mass of the \( Y \)

\[ \tau_{p} \propto \frac{M_{Y}^{4}}{\alpha^{2}m_{p}^{5}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

in which \( \alpha \) is the fine structure constant of \( SU(5) \). The lower bound on the lifetime of the proton due to this decay mode is \( 8.3 \times 10^{33} \) years. Putting charge-conjugated right-handed fields into the same multiplet as left-handed fields changes the focus of physics from accessible energies to the GUT scale or \( M_{Y} > 10^{16} \) GeV. This seems premature.

Harald Fritzsch, Peter Minkowski, Howard Georgi, and Edward Witten put the left-handed fields of a single family into a 16-dimensional multiplet of \( SO(10) \), which to save paper I represent as a row vector

\[
16 = \begin{pmatrix}
\nu^{c} \\
e^{c} \\
u_{r} \\
d_{r} \\
u_{g} \\
d_{g} \\
u_{b} \\
d_{b} \\
u_{r}^{c} \\
u_{g}^{c} \\
u_{b}^{c} \\
d_{r}^{c} \\
d_{g}^{c} \\
d_{b}^{c} \\
\nu \\
e
\end{pmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

This theory of grand unification is more symmetrical and has room for a right-handed neutrino, which appears as \( \nu^{c} \). This theory also produces proton decay unless the masses of the gauge bosons exceed about \( 10^{16} \) GeV.
Unfortunately, $SO(10)$ does not seem to explain the charges as directly as $SU(5)$ because the sum of the charges of the particles of the 16 vanishes no matter what they are as long as $q + q^c = 0$. This may be why Georgi and Glashow opted for $SU(5)$, which Georgi discovered only a few hours after figuring out $SO(10)$. But if one fits the 16 particles of the 16 into $SU(5)$ multiplets, then one recovers the $SU(5)$ version of charge quantization.

The gauge group of the standard model is $SU_c(3) \otimes SU_l(2) \otimes U_Y(1)$ with three coupling constants $g_s$, $g$, and $g'$ which have nothing to do with each other. Grand unification puts these three groups into a simple group with a single coupling constant and traceless generators $T^a$ that are related to one another by the structure constants $f_{abc}$

$$[T^a, T^b] = i f_{abc} T^c$$

which are real and totally antisymmetric, and the same for every representation whether reducible or irreducible. A **simple** group $G$ is one that has no nontrivial invariant subgroup $S$; that is, if

$$g^{-1} s g = s' \in S \text{ for all } s \in S \text{ and all } g \in G$$

then either $S = G$ or $S$ consists of the identity element of $G$. The group of the standard model $SU_c(3) \otimes SU_l(2) \otimes U_Y(1)$ is not simple (or semi-simple). Its structure constants don’t relate the $SU_c(3)$ generators to the $SU_l(2)$ generators or to the $U_Y(1)$ generator.

The generators of any representation whether reducible or irreducible of a group may be taken to be orthogonal with a normalization $N_D$ that depends upon the representation $D$

$$\text{Tr} \ T^a T^b = N_D \delta_{ab}.$$