

The Propagator

$$\begin{aligned}
 -i\Delta_{0m}(x, y) &= \langle 0 | T(\psi_\ell(x) \psi_m^+(y)) | 0 \rangle \\
 &= \Theta(x^0, y^0) [\psi_\ell^{(+)}(x), \psi_m^{(+)\dagger}(y)]_- \\
 &\quad + \Theta(y^0, x^0) [\psi_m^{(-)\dagger}(y), \psi_\ell^{(-)}(x)]_+ \\
 &= -i \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{P_{0m}(q) e^{iq(x-y)}}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{0m}(q) = 2\sqrt{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} \sum_s a_e(q, s) A_m^*(q, s)$$

is the propagator we used to do perturbation theory.

Let

$$G(q_1, q_2) = \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 e^{-iq_1 x_1} \langle 0 | T(A_\ell(x_1) A_m(x_2)) | 0 \rangle$$

If there's a particle that couples $A(x)$ to the vacuum, then near $q_1^2 = -m^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(q_1, q_2) &\approx -2i\sqrt{\vec{q}_1^2 + m^2} (2\pi)^3 \sum_s \langle 0 | A_\ell^{(0)} | \vec{q}_1 s \rangle \\
 &\quad \times \int d^4 x_2 e^{-iq_2 x_2} \langle \vec{q}_1 s | A_m(x_2) | 0 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

If $A_\ell(x_1)$ is a field that belongs to an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group, then one may show that *

$$\langle 0 | A_\ell(0) | \vec{q}_1, s \rangle = \frac{N}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_\ell(\vec{q}_1, s)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^4x_2 e^{-iq_2 x_2} \langle \vec{q}_1, s | A_m(x_2) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int d^4x_2 e^{-iq_2 x_2} e^{-ipx_2} \langle \vec{q}_1, s | e^{ipx_2} A_m(0) e^{-ipx_2} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int d^4x_2 e^{-iq_2 x_2 - iq_1 x_2} \langle \vec{q}_1, s | A_m(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q_1 + q_2) \langle \vec{q}_1, s | A_m(0) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{N}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u_m^*(\vec{q}_1, s) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q_1 + q_2). \end{aligned}$$

So near $q_1^2 = -m^2$, G looks like

$$\begin{aligned} G(q_1, q_2) &\approx \frac{-2i\sqrt{\vec{q}_1^2 + m^2}/N}{q_1^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \sum_s u_\ell(q_2, s) u_m^*(q_1, s) \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q_1 + q_2) \\ &= -\frac{i P_{\ell m}(q_1)}{q_1^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} |N|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q_1 + q_2). \end{aligned} \tag{G}$$

* For a scalar field $u_\ell(q_1, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2q_1^0}}$, $q^0 = \sqrt{\vec{q}_1^2 + m^2}$.

The renormalized field has $N = 1$.

$$\langle 0 | \phi_e(0) | \vec{q}, s \rangle = \frac{u_e(\vec{q}, s)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}$$

Its propagation is

$$-i\Delta_{0m}(q_1) = \frac{-i P_{0m}(q_1)}{q_1^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

which is Eq. (6) with $N = 1$ (apart from the factor $(2\pi)^4 \delta(q_1 + q_2)$).

So we want the renormalized propagator to have a pole at $\vec{q}^2 = -m^2$ with unit residue — apart from $P_{0m}(q)$.

Scalar case

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \phi_b \partial^\mu \phi_b - \frac{1}{2}m_b^2 \phi_b^2 - V_b(\phi_b)$$

$$\text{In general } \langle 0 | \phi_b(0) | \vec{q} \rangle = \frac{N}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} u(q)$$

$$= \frac{N}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2g^0}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2g^0}}$$

So $\phi_b = \sqrt{2} \phi$ makes the renormalized field satisfy $\langle 0 | \phi(0) | q \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3 2g^0}}$.

And as before, we set

$$m_b^2 = m^2 - \delta m^2$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} (z-1) [\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} z \delta m^2 \phi^2 - V_b(\sqrt{z} \phi)$$

The sum of all graphs that can't be disconnected by cutting a single line — one-particle-irreducible graphs — is $i(2\pi)^4 \Pi^*(q^2)$, apart from two external-line propagators

 is one of the 1pi graphs.

$$\text{If } \Delta = \frac{1}{q^2 + m^2 + i\epsilon}, \text{ then}$$

$$\Delta'(q) = \Delta + \Delta \Pi^* \Delta + \Delta (\Pi^* \Delta)^2 + \dots$$

$$= \Delta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Pi^* \Delta)^n = \frac{\Delta}{1 - \Pi^* \Delta}$$

That is,

$$\Delta'(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\pi^X(q^2)}{q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^2 + m^2 - \pi^X(q^2) - i\epsilon}.$$

So we want $\pi^X(q^2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n(q^2)^n$

so the pole stays at $q^2 = -m^2$ with unit residue.

Now first- and second-order perturbation theory gives

$$\pi^X(q^2) = \underbrace{-(z-1)(q^2 + m^2) + z S_m^2}_{\text{first order}} + \underbrace{\pi_{\text{loop}}^X(q^2)}_{\text{second order}}$$

We want $\pi^X(-m^2) = 0 = -(z-1)(0) + z S_m^2 + \pi_{\text{loop}}^X(-m^2)$
so we set

$$z S_m^2 = -\pi_{\text{loop}}^X(-m^2)$$

and we also want

$$\left. \frac{d\pi^X}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=-m^2} = 0$$

so we set $z = 1 + \left. \frac{d\pi_{\text{loop}}^X(q^2)}{dq^2} \right|_{q^2=-m^2}$

So both z^{-1} and $z S_m^2$ are of second and higher orders in the coupling constant.
In

$\lambda\phi^4$ theory, for example,

$$h \rightarrow h \propto \lambda^2 \int \frac{d^4 p d^4 q}{(p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)(q^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)(q \cdot p - q^2) \Gamma_{m^2, \epsilon}}$$

Note that external lines that represent particles on their mass shells $q^2 + m^2 = 0$ have no radiative corrections.

Dirac case

$$\mathcal{L} = -\bar{\psi}_b (\not{D} + m_b) \psi_b - V_b (\bar{\psi}_b \psi_b)$$

$$\psi_b = \sqrt{Z_2} \psi$$

$$m_b = m - \delta m$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + h, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = -\bar{\psi} (\not{D} + m) \psi$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -(Z_2 - 1) (\bar{\psi} (\not{D} + m) \psi) + Z_2 \delta m \bar{\psi} \psi - V_b (\bar{\psi} \psi Z_2)$$

$$\Sigma^*(k) = -(Z_2 - 1) (i k \not{+} m) + Z_2 \delta m + \sum^*_{\text{loop}}(k)$$

Generalized propagator $S'(k) = \frac{1}{ik + m - \Sigma^*(k) - i\epsilon}$

1pi self-energy of fermion

$$\Sigma^x(im) = 0 = \left. \frac{\partial \Sigma(K)}{\partial K} \right|_{K=im} \quad \text{give}$$

$$Z_1 \delta_m = - \Sigma_{\text{loop}}^x(im)$$

$$Z_2 = 1 - i \left. \frac{\partial \Sigma_{\text{loop}}(K)}{\partial K} \right|_{K=im}.$$

We've seen that $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$
or current conservation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} g_F M^{\mu\nu}(p, p') &= \int d^4x d^4x' e^{-ixp_i x'_i} \langle 0 | T(J^\mu(x) J^\nu(x')) | 0 \rangle \\ &= -i \int d^4x d^4x' e^{-ixp_i - ix'p'_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \langle 0 | T(J^\nu(x), J^\nu(x')) | 0 \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus terms proportional to $p^\mu \eta^\nu$ in
the photon propagator don't matter.

The current $J^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m}{\partial A_\mu}$ where

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_m (4, \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_b A_{\mu b}) \gamma^4)$$

$A_b^\mu = \sqrt{2} \gamma_3 A^\mu$ so we set $g_b = g/\sqrt{2}\gamma_3$
so that

$$J^\mu = +ig \gamma^\mu \gamma^4.$$

Γ^m is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & -i(2\pi)^4 q S'_{mn}(h) \Gamma_{m'mn}^{(n)}(h, l) S'_{m'mn}(l) \delta^{(4)}(p + h - l) \\ & = \int d^4x d^4y d^4z e^{-ipx - ihy + ilz} \langle 0 | T(J^m(x) \psi_m(y) \bar{\psi}_m(z)) | 0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$-i(2\pi)^4 S'_{mn}(h) \delta^{(4)}(h - l) = \int d^4y d^4z \langle 0 | T(\psi_m(y) \bar{\psi}_m(z)) | 0 \rangle e^{-ihy + ilz}$$

with no interactions at all

$$S'(h) \rightarrow \frac{1}{ik + m - i\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^{(n)}(h, l) \rightarrow V^n.$$

We derived in class the Ward-Takahashi identity

$$(Q - h)_\mu S'(h) \Gamma^m(h, l) S'(l) = iS'(l) - iS'(h)$$

$$\text{or} \quad (Q - h)_\mu \Gamma^m(h, l) = iS^{--}(h) - iS^{--}(l).$$

As $l \rightarrow k$, we get

$$\Gamma^m(h, k) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_\mu} S^{--}(h).$$

We've seen that

$$S^{--}(h) = ik + m - \Sigma^x(h)$$

$$\text{so } \Gamma^m(h, h) = \gamma^m + i \frac{\partial}{\partial k_m} \Sigma^*(k)$$

We've also seen that for $h^2 = -m^2$

$$\Sigma^*(im) = \left. \frac{\partial \Sigma^*}{\partial k} \right|_{k=im} = 0$$

So for a renormalized Dirac field on mass shell
 $(ik+m)u_k = 0, \quad (ik+m)u_{k'} = 0$

$$\bar{u}'_n \Gamma^m(h, h) u_k = \bar{u}'_n \gamma^m u_k.$$

Radial corrections vanish $\underbrace{h}_{q} \quad \underbrace{h}$

when $h^2 = -m^2$ which means that $q \neq 0$.