

HW 7

$$1) \langle \eta | \theta \rangle = \langle 0 | (1 + \bar{\eta} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}) (1 + \psi^\dagger \theta - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta) | 0 \rangle$$

in which  $\bar{\eta} \equiv \eta^*$  and  $\psi^\dagger \equiv \psi^* \equiv \bar{\psi}$  for ease of writing.

$$\text{Now } \theta^2 = \bar{\eta}^2 = \bar{\theta}^2 = 0, \text{ and } \psi | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \bar{\psi} = 0.$$

So

$$\langle \eta | \theta \rangle = \langle 0 | 1 + \psi^\dagger \theta - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta + \bar{\eta} \psi + \bar{\eta} \psi \bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \psi \bar{\theta} \theta$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \bar{\psi} \theta + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \bar{\theta} \theta | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | 1 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta + \bar{\eta} \psi \bar{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \bar{\theta} \theta | 0 \rangle$$

Now  $\psi \bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi} \psi = 1$ , so  $\psi \bar{\psi} = -\bar{\psi} \psi + 1$ , and so

$$\langle \eta | \theta \rangle = \langle 0 | 1 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \theta + \bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \bar{\theta} \theta | 0 \rangle.$$

$$\text{Also } \bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\theta} \theta)$$

$$= 1 + \bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\theta} \theta)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\theta} \theta))^2 + \text{zeros}$$

$$= 1 + \bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\theta} \theta) + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} \bar{\theta} \theta$$

So

$$\langle \eta | \theta \rangle = \exp \left[ \bar{\eta} \theta - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\theta} \theta) \right].$$

12) We take it for granted that  $I = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int |0\rangle\langle 0| d\bar{\theta} d\theta &= \int (1 + \bar{\psi}\theta - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta) |0\rangle\langle 0| (1 + \bar{\theta}\psi - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta) d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int \left( -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta |0\rangle\langle 0| - \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\psi}\theta |0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{\theta}\psi \right) d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int \left( -|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\psi}|0\rangle\langle 0| \psi \bar{\theta}\theta \right) d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int \left( |0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\psi}|0\rangle\langle 0| \psi \bar{\theta}\theta \right) d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int \left( |0\rangle\langle 0| + \bar{\psi}|0\rangle\langle 0| \psi \right) \bar{\theta}\theta d\bar{\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int \left( |0\rangle\langle 0| + \bar{\psi}|0\rangle\langle 0| \psi \right) \bar{\theta} d\bar{\theta} \\
 &= |0\rangle\langle 0| + \bar{\psi}|0\rangle\langle 0| \psi = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $|1\rangle = \bar{\psi}|0\rangle$ .

$$13) |0\rangle = \left[ \prod_{k=1}^m (1 + \bar{\psi}_k \theta_k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) \right] |0\rangle$$

Now  $\psi_k$  commutes with  $\bar{\psi}_l \theta_l$  for all  $l \neq k$  and also with  $\bar{\theta}_l \theta_l$ .

So

$$\psi_k |0\rangle = \left[ \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^m (1 + \bar{\psi}_l \theta_l - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l) \right] \psi_k (1 + \bar{\psi}_k \theta_k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) |0\rangle$$

And since  $\psi_k |0\rangle = 0$  while  $\{\psi_k, \bar{\psi}_k\} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k (1 + \bar{\psi}_k \theta_k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) |0\rangle &= \psi_k \bar{\psi}_k \theta_k |0\rangle \\ &= (1 - \bar{\psi}_k \psi_k) \theta_k |0\rangle = \theta_k |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\psi_k |0\rangle = \left[ \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^m (1 + \bar{\psi}_l \theta_l - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l) \right] \theta_k |0\rangle \quad \text{while}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k |0\rangle &= \left[ \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^m (1 + \bar{\psi}_l \theta_l - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l) \right] \theta_k (1 + \bar{\psi}_k \theta_k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k) |0\rangle \\ &= \left[ \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^m (1 + \bar{\psi}_l \theta_l - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta}_l \theta_l) \right] \theta_k |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi_k |0\rangle = \theta_k |0\rangle.$

14) One way to do this problem is to repeat the argument of problem 13 with  $m, \vec{x}$  in place of the index  $k$ . One writes

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\chi\rangle &= \left[ \prod_{m, \vec{x}} \left( 1 + \bar{\psi}_{m, \vec{x}} \chi_{m, \vec{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_{m, \vec{x}} \chi_{m, \vec{x}} \right) \right] |0\rangle \\
 &= \left[ \prod_{m, \vec{x}} \left( 1 + \psi_m^\dagger(\vec{x}) \chi_m(\vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \chi_m^\dagger(\vec{x}) \chi_m(\vec{x}) \right) \right] |0\rangle \\
 &= \left[ \prod_{\vec{x}} \left( 1 + \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi \right) \right] |0\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\psi^\dagger \chi = \psi_m^\dagger \chi_m$ . Then as in (13)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle &= \left[ \prod_{\substack{m', \vec{x}' \\ \vec{x}', m' \neq m, \vec{x}}} \left( 1 + \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi \right) \right] \psi_m(\vec{x}') \left( 1 + \psi_m^\dagger \chi_m(\vec{x}') - \frac{1}{2} \chi_m^\dagger(\vec{x}') \chi_m(\vec{x}') \right) |0\rangle \\
 &= \left[ \prod_{\substack{m', \vec{x}' \\ m', \vec{x}' \neq m, \vec{x}}} \left( 1 + \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi \right) \right] \chi_m(\vec{x}') |0\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\chi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle = \left[ \prod_{\substack{m', \vec{x}' \\ m', \vec{x}' \neq m, \vec{x}}} \left( 1 + \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi \right) \right] \chi_m(\vec{x}') |0\rangle$$

So

$$\psi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle = \chi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle.$$

But a better approach is to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\chi\rangle &= D(\chi) |0\rangle = e^{\int \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi d^3x} |0\rangle \\
 &= \exp\left(\int \psi^\dagger \chi - \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x\right) |0\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

We use the identity

$$e^{A+B} = e^{A+B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B]}$$

which holds when  $[A, B]$  commutes with both  $A$  and  $B$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\int \psi^\dagger \chi d^3x} e^{-\int \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x} &= e^{\int \psi^\dagger \chi - \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x - \frac{1}{2} [\int \psi^\dagger \chi d^3x, \int \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x]} \\ &= D(\chi) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int [\psi^\dagger(x) \chi(x), \chi^\dagger(y) \psi(y)] d^3x d^3y \right\} \\ &= D(\chi) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int \psi^\dagger(x) \chi(x) \chi^\dagger(y) \psi(y) - \chi^\dagger(y) \psi(y) \psi^\dagger(x) \chi(x) d^3x d^3y \right\} \\ &= D(\chi) \exp \left\{ +\frac{1}{2} \int \chi^\dagger(y) \chi(x) \left( \psi_{m'}^\dagger(x) \psi_m(y) + \psi_m(y) \psi_{m'}^\dagger(x) \right) d^3x d^3y \right\} \\ &= D(\chi) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int \chi_{m'}^\dagger(y) \chi_m(x) \left( \delta_{mm'} \int (\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \right) d^3x d^3y \right\} \\ &= D(\chi) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int \chi_m^\dagger(x) \chi_m(x) d^3x \right) \end{aligned}$$

So  $D(\chi) = e^{\int \psi^\dagger \chi d^3x} e^{-\int \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int \chi^\dagger \chi d^3x}$

whence  $D(\chi) |0\rangle = e^{\int \psi^\dagger \chi d^3x} e^{-\int \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int \chi^\dagger \chi d^3x} |0\rangle$

$$= \exp \left( \int \psi^\dagger \chi - \frac{1}{2} \chi^\dagger \chi \right) |0\rangle.$$

Now define  $\lambda \int \psi^\dagger \chi - \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x$

$$D(\chi, \lambda) = e$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real parameter. Next let

$$\psi(\vec{x}, \lambda) = D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \psi(\vec{x}) D(\chi, \lambda).$$

Note that  $D(\chi)$  and  $D(\chi, \lambda)$  are unitary operators since

$$\begin{aligned} D^\dagger(\chi) D(\chi) &= \exp\left(\int \chi^\dagger \psi - \psi^\dagger \chi d^3x\right) \exp\left(\int \psi^\dagger \chi - \chi^\dagger \psi d^3x\right) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\psi(\vec{x}, \lambda)}{d\lambda} = D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \left[ \int \chi^\dagger \psi - \psi^\dagger \chi d^3y, \psi(\vec{x}) \right] D(\chi, \lambda)$$

$$= D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \int \chi_m(y) \left[ \psi_m^\dagger(y) \psi_m(x) + \psi_m(x) \psi_m^\dagger(y) \right] d^3y D(\chi, \lambda)$$

$$= D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \int \chi_m(y) S_{mm'} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) d^3y D(\chi, \lambda)$$

$$= D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \chi_m(\vec{x}) D(\chi, \lambda). \quad (\psi')$$

(And incidentally,

$$\frac{d^2\psi(\vec{x}, \lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = D^\dagger(\chi, \lambda) \left[ \int \chi^\dagger \psi - \psi^\dagger \chi d^3y, \chi_m(\vec{x}) \right] D(\chi, \lambda).$$

But  $\chi$  anti-commutes with all fermionic things.

$$\text{So } \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\lambda^2} = 0. \quad \text{Similarly, } \frac{d^2 \psi}{d\lambda^2} = 0.)$$

Returning to  $(\psi')$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi_m(\vec{x}, \lambda)}{d\lambda} &= D^\dagger(\lambda) \chi_{m'}(\vec{x}) D(\lambda) \\ &= \chi_{m'}(\vec{x}) D^\dagger(\lambda) D(\lambda) \\ &= \chi_{m'}(\vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \psi_{m'}(\vec{x}, 1) &= D^\dagger(\lambda) \psi_{m'}(\vec{x}) D(\lambda) \\ &= \psi_{m'}(\vec{x}, 0) + \int_0^1 \frac{d\psi_{m'}(\vec{x})}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_0^1 \chi_{m'}(\vec{x}) d\lambda + \psi_{m'}(\vec{x}) \\ &= \psi_{m'}(\vec{x}) + \chi_{m'}(\vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$D^\dagger(\lambda) \psi_m(\vec{x}) D(\lambda) = \psi_m(\vec{x}) + \chi_m(\vec{x}).$$

Thus

$$\psi_m(\vec{x}) D(\chi) = D(\chi) \left( \psi_m(\vec{x}') + \chi_m(\vec{x}') \right),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle &= \psi_m(\vec{x}') D(\chi) |0\rangle \\ &= D(\chi) \left( \psi_m(\vec{x}') + \chi_m(\vec{x}') \right) |0\rangle \\ &= D(\chi) \chi_m(\vec{x}') |0\rangle \\ &= \chi_m(\vec{x}') D(\chi) |0\rangle \\ &= \chi_m(\vec{x}') |\chi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$