

Dirac's Hydrogen Atom

We start with the hamiltonian

$$H = -eA^0 - (c\vec{p} + e\vec{A}) \cdot \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} + imc^2 \gamma^0 \quad 1$$

The field of the proton has $\vec{A} = 0$ and A^0 spherically symmetric. So H is

$$H = -eA^0 - c\vec{p} \cdot \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} + imc^2 \gamma^0 \quad 2$$

or since

$$\gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} = \vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad 3$$

$$H = -eA^0 - c\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma} + imc^2 \gamma^0 \quad 4$$

We have seen that

$$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{\sigma}_4 \quad 5$$

is the conserved total angular momentum.

Its Σ -vals are $j(j+1)\hbar^2$ where $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2} > 0$. (6)

Let's compute

$$\sum_i \sigma_4^i L_i \sigma_4^j p_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j \end{pmatrix} L_i p_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i \sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \sigma_j \end{pmatrix} L_i p_j \quad (7)$$

Since

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k \quad (8)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 \cdot L \sigma_4 \cdot p &= L \cdot p + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_4^k L_i p_j \\ &= i \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot (L \times p) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since

$$L \cdot p = \epsilon_{ijk} v_j p_k p_i = 0. \quad (10)$$

Similarly,

$$\sigma_4 \cdot p \sigma_4 \cdot L = i \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot (p \times L). \quad (11)$$

Classically $L \times p + p \times L = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (L \times p)_i + (p \times L)_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} [p_j \epsilon_{k\ell m} r_\ell p_m] \\ &= -i\hbar \delta_{je} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{k\ell m} p_m \\ &= -i\hbar \epsilon_{iek} \epsilon_{k\ell m} p_m = i\hbar \epsilon_{kei} \epsilon_{k\ell m} p_m. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k\ell m} \epsilon_{kei} \epsilon_{k\ell m} &= \delta_{ee} \delta_{im} - \delta_{em} \delta_{ie} \\ &= 3 \delta_{im} - \delta_{im} = 2 \delta_{im} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

we have

$$L \times p + p \times L = -2i\hbar \vec{p}.$$

So the anti-commutator $\{\sigma_4 \cdot L, \sigma_4 \cdot p\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 \cdot L \sigma_4 \cdot p + \sigma_4 \cdot p \sigma_4 \cdot L &= i \sigma_4 \cdot (L \times p + p \times L) \\ &= i \sigma_4 \cdot (2i \hbar \vec{p}^2) = -2 \hbar \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{p}. \end{aligned} \quad 15$$

Thus this anti-commutator

$$(\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \sigma_4 \cdot p + \sigma_4 \cdot p (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) = 0 \quad 16$$

vanishes. Also, since

$$\gamma^0 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad 17$$

$$\gamma^0 \vec{\sigma}_4 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}_1 & \vec{\sigma}_2 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma}_3 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma}_1 \\ \vec{\sigma}_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad 18$$

and

$$\vec{\sigma}_4 \gamma^0 = -i \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma}_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma}_1 \\ \vec{\sigma}_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad 19$$

so

$$[\vec{\sigma}_4, \gamma^0] = 0. \quad 20$$

Thus $\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar$ commutes with the first and last terms of H — with $-cA^0$ and $i mc^2 \gamma^0$. It also anti-commutes with the other term $-c \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}$ because

$$\vec{\Sigma} = \vec{\sigma}_4 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{\sigma}_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad 21$$

Thus (16) & (21) imply

$$(\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \sigma_4 \cdot p \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \sigma_4 \cdot p (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$(\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \Sigma \cdot p + \Sigma \cdot p (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) = 0, \quad (22)$$

Now $\gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar)$ commutes with $-eA^0$ and with $i mc^2 \gamma^0$. And γ^0 anti-commutes with Σ

$$\gamma^0 \vec{\Sigma} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma \gamma^0 = -i \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$\{\gamma^0, \Sigma\} = 0, \quad (23)$$

Thus (22) & (23) imply

$$\gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \Sigma \cdot p + \gamma^0 \Sigma \cdot p (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) = 0$$

$$\gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \Sigma \cdot p - \Sigma \cdot p \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) = 0, \quad (24)$$

Thus $i \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \equiv j_D \hbar$ commutes with H and is conserved.

One may show that

$$[i \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar)]^2 = \left(L + \frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{\sigma}_4 \right)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} = \vec{J}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \equiv j_D^2 \hbar^2. \quad (25)$$

Note that this j_D is not the j_A we use for

the total angular momentum. If

$$\vec{J}^2 |j_A^m\rangle = \hbar^2 j_A(j_A+1) |j_A^m\rangle \quad 26$$

then

$$j_D = \pm (j_A + \frac{1}{2}). \quad 27$$

The ε -vals j_D are all positive and negative integers, excluding zero.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 \cdot \mathbf{x} \sigma_4 \cdot \mathbf{p} &= x_i p_j \sigma_{4i} \sigma_{4j} \\ &= x_i p_j (\delta_{ij} + i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{4k}) \\ &= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p} + i \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{L}. \end{aligned} \quad 28$$

But since

$$j_D \hbar = i \gamma^0 (\vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{L} + \hbar) \quad 29$$

so

$$\vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{L} = i \gamma^0 j_D \hbar - \hbar \quad 30$$

whence

$$\sigma_4 \cdot \mathbf{x} \sigma_4 \cdot \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \gamma^0 j_D \hbar - i \hbar \quad 31$$

$$= r \cdot p_r - \gamma^0 j_D \hbar - i \hbar. \quad 32$$

Dirac then defines ϵ by

$$r \epsilon = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad 33$$

$$r^2 \epsilon^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot X$$

$$= (\sigma_4 \cdot X)^2 = \vec{X}^2 = r^2$$

34
35

whence $\epsilon^2 = 1$

Now we have seen in (29) that

$$0 = \left[- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot P, j_D^{\dagger} \right]$$

$$= -i \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot P, \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \right]$$

$$= -i \left[\Sigma \cdot P, \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \right]$$

36

Since \vec{X} and \vec{P} play similar algebraic roles in \vec{L} , one may show that $\Sigma \cdot X$ commutes with j_D

$$\left[\Sigma \cdot \vec{X}, \gamma^0 (\sigma_4 \cdot L + \hbar) \right] = 0$$

37

So $[\epsilon, j] = 0,$

38

Also $[\epsilon, p_r] = 0$ because

39

$$\sigma_4 \cdot X \vec{X} \cdot \vec{P} - \vec{X} \cdot \vec{P} \sigma_4 \cdot X = \sigma_4 \cdot (\vec{X} (X \cdot P) - (X \cdot P) \vec{X})$$

$$= -\sigma_4 \cdot X_j \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_j X_i = i \hbar \sigma_4 \cdot X_j \delta_{ij} = i \hbar \sigma_4 \cdot X$$

40

So

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \left[\sigma_4 \cdot X \cdot X \cdot P - X \cdot P \sigma_4 \cdot X \right] = - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} i \hbar \sigma_4 \cdot X$$

41

or

$$r \epsilon r p_r - r p_r r \epsilon = i \hbar r \epsilon$$

42

or

$$r^2 \epsilon p_r - r^2 p_r \epsilon = 0.$$

43

By (32)

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \left[\sigma_{4,x} \sigma_{4,p} \right] &= \left[- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \sigma_{4,x} \left[- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \sigma_{4,p} \\
 &= r p_r - \gamma^0 j_{\theta} \hbar - i \hbar
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{44}$$

so

$$r \epsilon \left[- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \sigma_{4,p} = r p_r - \gamma^0 j_{\theta} \hbar - i \hbar$$

$$\epsilon \left[- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \sigma_{4,p} = p_r - \frac{i \hbar}{r} - \frac{\gamma^0}{r} j_{\theta} \hbar
 \tag{45}$$

or since $\epsilon^2 = 1$ by (35)

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_{4,p} = \epsilon \left(p_r - \frac{i \hbar}{r} \right) - \epsilon \gamma^0 j_{\theta} \hbar / r
 \tag{46}$$

So, the hamiltonian in these polar coordinates is by (3-4)

$$\frac{H}{c} = - \frac{e}{c} A^0 - e p_r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 + i m c \gamma^0
 \tag{47}$$

$$= - \frac{e}{c} A^0 + \epsilon \left(p_r - \frac{i \hbar}{r} \right) - \epsilon \gamma^0 j_{\theta} \hbar / r + i m c \gamma^0$$

Now ϵ and γ^0 commute with all the other variables — A^0 , p_r , \hbar/r , $j_{\theta} \hbar$ — in H , and also

$$\{ \epsilon, \gamma^0 \} = 0
 \tag{48}$$

because

by (33) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= -\frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_4 \cdot x \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} \Sigma \cdot x \\ &= -\frac{1}{r} \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

49

So since

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$$

50

$$\{\epsilon, \gamma^0\} = 0.$$

51

So one may choose a representation in which γ^0 is diagonal and

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

52

since $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and $\gamma^{0^2} = -1$, so now

(47) is

$$\frac{H}{c} = -\frac{e^2}{cr} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(p_r - \frac{it\hbar}{r} \right)$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[-i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \frac{j_D \hbar}{r} + mc \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

53

That is,

$$\frac{H}{c} = -\frac{e^2}{cr} + \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{j\hbar}{r} + mc \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

This is a 2×2 matrix. So letting

$$\langle ra | \psi \rangle = \psi_a(r) \quad (55)$$

$$\langle rb | \psi \rangle = \psi_b(r)$$

we find

$$0 = \langle ra | \frac{H}{c} | \psi \rangle = \left(\frac{H'}{c} + \frac{e^2}{cr} \right) \psi_a + \hbar \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \psi_b + \frac{j\hbar}{r} \psi_b - mc \psi_a \quad (56)$$

$$0 = \langle rb | \frac{H}{c} | \psi \rangle = \left(\frac{H'}{c} + \frac{e^2}{cr} \right) \psi_b - \hbar \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \psi_a + \frac{j\hbar}{r} \psi_a + mc \psi_b$$

in which $j \equiv j_0$. Let

$$\frac{\hbar}{mc - \frac{H'}{c}} = a_1, \quad \frac{\hbar}{mc + \frac{H'}{c}} = a_2, \quad (57)$$

Then Eqs. (56) become

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{d}{r} \right) \psi_a - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{j+1}{r} \right) \psi_b = 0 \quad (58)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{d}{r} \right) \psi_b - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{j-1}{r} \right) \psi_a = 0$$

where $d = e^2/\hbar c \approx 1/137$.

Let

$$\psi_a = r^{-1} e^{-r/a} f$$

$$\psi_b = r^{-1} e^{-r/a} g$$

60

where

$$a = \sqrt{a_1 a_2} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{m^2 c^2 - H'^2/c^2}}$$

61

Then (58) reduce to

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{\alpha}{r}\right) f - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{j}{r}\right) g = 0$$

62

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{\alpha}{r}\right) g - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{a} - \frac{j}{r}\right) f = 0$$

Set

$$f = \sum_s c_s r^s$$

$$g = \sum_s c'_s r^s$$

63

and put f & g into (62) and identify coefficients of r^{s-1}

$$c_{s-1}/a_1 - \alpha c_s - (s+j) c'_s + c'_{s-1}/a = 0$$

64

$$c'_{s-1}/a_2 + \alpha c'_s - (s-j) c_s + c_{s-1}/a = 0,$$

65

Note by (61)

$$\frac{a}{a_1} = \frac{a_2}{a}$$

66

so that

$$a(64) - a_2(65) = 0 \quad \text{gives} \quad 67$$

$$[a\alpha - a_2(s-j)]c_s + [a_2\alpha + a(s+j)]c'_s = 0. \quad 68$$

Now at $r=0$, we need

$$r\psi_a \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r\psi_b \rightarrow 0 \quad 69$$

so by (60) we need

$$f \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad 70$$

So there must be a minimum value s_0 at which $c_{s_0} c'_{s_0} \neq 0$.

So
$$c_{s_0-1} = 0 = c'_{s_0-1} \quad 72$$

and by (64-65)
$$+ \alpha c_{s_0} + (s+j)c'_{s_0} = 0 \quad 73$$

$$\alpha c'_{s_0} - (s-j)c_{s_0} = 0 \quad 74$$

which give

$$\alpha^2 c'_{s_0} = (s-j)\alpha c_{s_0} = +(j^2 - s_0^2) c'_{s_0} \quad 75$$

so

$$\alpha^2 = j^2 - s_0^2. \quad 76$$

So

$$S_0^2 = j^2 - \alpha^2$$

77

and since $f, g \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$

$$S_0 = +\sqrt{j^2 - \alpha^2}$$

78

Now by (68) and (65), we find approximately for large s

$$+a_2 C_s \approx a C'_s$$

79

and

$$s C_s \approx \frac{C_{s-1}}{a} + \frac{C'_{s-1}}{a_2}$$

80

neglecting d .

Now (79) and (80) imply that

$$\frac{C_s}{C_{s-1}} \approx \frac{2}{as}$$

81

So the series (63) & (64) go as

$$\sum C_s r^s \sim \sum \frac{1}{s!} \left(\frac{2r}{a}\right)^s = e^{2r/a}$$

82

which is not normalizable. So the series (63) must terminate.

Series (63) must terminate so that

$$c_{s+1} = c'_{s+1} = 0,$$

83

Now (64) + (65) give

$$\frac{c_s}{a_1} + \frac{c'_s}{a} = 0$$

84

$$\frac{c'_s}{a_2} + \frac{c_s}{a} = 0$$

85

which are equivalent by (66), Now (68) gives

$$a_1 [a_1 \alpha - a_2 (s-j)] = a [a_2 \alpha + a (s+j)]$$

86

\approx

$$2 a_1 a_2 s = a (a_1 - a_2) \alpha$$

87

\approx

$$\frac{s}{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_2} - \frac{1}{a_1} \right) \alpha = \frac{H'}{ck} \alpha$$

88

Using (61), we find

$$s^2 (m^2 c^2 - H'^2 / c^2) = \alpha^2 H'^2 / c^2$$

89

or

$$\frac{H'}{mc^2} = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{s^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

90

Let

$$s = n + s_0 \quad 91$$

$$= n + \sqrt{j^2 - \alpha^2} \quad 92$$

where n is an integer. Then

$$\frac{H'}{mc^2} = \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{(n_D + \sqrt{j_D^2 - \alpha^2})^2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 93$$

Here $j_D = \pm (j_A + \frac{1}{2}) \quad 94$

is a non-zero integer, not a half-integer.

The formula (93) is expressed in terms of Dirac's notation in which n_D is not the principal quantum number n , and j_D is not the j of the total angular momentum. In terms of n_D and j_D , the quantum number j of the total angular momentum is

$$j = |j_D| - \frac{1}{2} \quad 95$$

and the principal quantum number n is

$$n = n_D + j + \frac{1}{2}. \quad 96$$

So $n_D = n - j - \frac{1}{2}$ and $|j_D| = j + \frac{1}{2} \quad 97$

and the energy E_{nj} is

$$E_{nj} = \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{Z\alpha}{n - j - \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (Z\alpha)^2}} \right)^2}}$$

98

in which Z is the charge of the nucleus.

If instead of an electron, the orbiting spin- $1/2$ particle had charge $-Z'$ and mass m' , then E_{nj} would be

$$E_{nj} = \frac{m' c^2}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{Z Z' \alpha}{m' j - \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - (Z Z' \alpha)^2}} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}}$$

99

which would be complex for $Z' = 2$ and $Z > 69$. It would be interesting to make the nucleus of anti-helium-3 and to aim a low-energy beam of it at a uranium target.