

Dirac's Equation

Dirac wanted a linear wave equation that gave

$$E^2 = m^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2 \quad 1$$

He found

$$(i p_a \gamma^a + mc) \psi = 0 \quad 2$$

in which the index a is summed from 0 to 3 and in which the γ 's are four 4×4 matrices that obey

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = \gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a = 2\eta^{ab} \quad 3$$

where $\eta^{ab} = (1, 1, 1, -1)$ or $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ depending upon where you put the 00 entry.

Here

$$\vec{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{\nabla} \quad \text{and} \quad p^0 = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} = -\frac{\hbar}{ic} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad 4$$

so

$$(\hbar \partial_a \gamma^a + mc) \psi = 0 \quad 5$$

is the Dirac equation for a free electron. Now (3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} p_a \gamma^a p_b \gamma^b &= \frac{1}{2} (p_a p_b \gamma^a \gamma^b + p_b p_a \gamma^b \gamma^a) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_a p_b (\gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_a p_b \{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = p_a p_b \eta^{ab} = p_a p^a. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus Dirac's equation (2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i p_a \gamma^a - mc)(i p_b \gamma^b + mc) \psi &= 0 \\
 &= (- p_a p^a - m^2 c^2) \psi = 0 \\
 &= \left(\frac{E^2}{c^2} - \vec{p}^2 - m^2 c^2 \right) \psi = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{\sim}{0} = (E^2 - c^2 \vec{p}^2 - m^2 c^4) \psi \tag{7}$$

which is what Dirac wanted.

So for a free electron,

$$(i p_a \gamma^a + mc) \psi = (i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} - i p^0 \gamma^0 + mc) \psi = 0$$

and so

$$i p^0 \gamma^0 \psi = (i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + mc) \psi$$

$$+ i \frac{E_0}{c} \gamma^0 \psi = (i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + mc) \psi \tag{8}$$

Since $\gamma^{02} = \eta^{00} = -1$

$$+ i \frac{H_0}{c} \psi = \gamma^0 (i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + mc) \psi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 H \psi &= + i c \gamma^0 (i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + mc) \psi, \tag{9} \\
 &= (-c \gamma^0 \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\gamma} + i m c^2 \gamma^0) \psi
 \end{aligned}$$

So for a free electron the hamiltonian H is

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= +ic\gamma^0 (i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{v} + mc) \\
 &= -c\gamma^0 \vec{p}\cdot\vec{v} + imc^2\gamma^0. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to use an explicit set of Dirac matrices:

$$\vec{\gamma} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma^0 = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

To find the hamiltonian for an electron in an electromagnetic field A_μ , Dirac replaced ∂_μ by the covariant derivative

$$\partial_\mu + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_\mu. \tag{12}$$

So

$$\vec{p} \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\vec{\nabla} + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} \vec{A} \right) \tag{13}$$

or

$$\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$p^0 = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_0 \rightarrow -\frac{\hbar}{i} \left(\partial_0 + \frac{ie}{\hbar c} A_0 \right) \tag{14}$$

So

$$p^0 \rightarrow p^0 - \frac{e}{c} A_0 = p^0 + \frac{e}{c} A^0. \quad 15$$

Thus instead of (9) we have

$$H + eA^0 = cp^0 + eA^0 = -c\gamma^0 (\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}) \cdot \vec{\gamma} + imc^2\gamma^0 \quad 16$$

which means that Dirac's hamiltonian for an electron in an electromagnetic field A_μ is

$$H = cp^0 = -eA^0 - c\gamma^0 (\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}) \cdot \vec{\gamma} + imc^2\gamma^0. \quad 17$$

It is this equation that leads to energy levels of the hydrogen atom that are exact apart from radiative corrections.

To get a feel for (17), we will look at

$$\left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 = \left[-\gamma^0 (\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}) \cdot \vec{\gamma} + imc^2\gamma^0 \right]^2 \quad 18$$

for a slow electron. The cross terms vanish because by (3)

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 + \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^i = \gamma^0 \{ \gamma^0 \gamma^i \} = 0. \quad 19$$

So

$$\left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 = \left[(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A}) \cdot \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \right]^2 + m^2 c^4 \quad 20$$

since by (3)

$$(imc^2\gamma^0)^2 = m^2 c^4. \quad 21$$

Now by (3)

$$\gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 \gamma^j = -\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^j = \gamma^i \gamma^j, \quad 22$$

so (20) is

$$\left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 = \left(p_i + \frac{e}{c} A_i \right) \left(p_j + \frac{e}{c} A_j \right) \gamma^i \gamma^j + m^2 c^2, \quad 23$$

Note that

$$Q_i Q_j \gamma^i \gamma^j = \frac{1}{4} (Q_i Q_j + Q_j Q_i) (\gamma^i \gamma^j + \gamma^j \gamma^i) \\ + \frac{1}{4} (Q_i Q_j - Q_j Q_i) (\gamma^i \gamma^j - \gamma^j \gamma^i) \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (Q_i Q_j + Q_j Q_i) 2\eta^{ij}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} [Q_i, Q_j] [\gamma^i, \gamma^j]$$

$$= \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{Q} + \frac{1}{4} [Q_i, Q_j] [\gamma^i, \gamma^j]. \quad (25)$$

What is $[\gamma^i, \gamma^j]$? By (10), we find

$$[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = (-i)^2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ -\sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= - \begin{pmatrix} -[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] & 0 \\ 0 & -[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma_i, \sigma_j] & 0 \\ 0 & [\sigma_i, \sigma_j] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = z^i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$

where a sum over k from 1 to 3 is understood,
So

$$[\gamma^0, p_j] = z^i \epsilon_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad 27$$

If we use $\bar{\sigma}_k$ for this matrix

$$\bar{\sigma}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad 28$$

then we have

$$[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = z^i \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{\sigma}_k. \quad 29$$

So (20), (29), and (28) now give

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{H_0 + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 &= \left(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 + m^2 c^4 + [c, p_j] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} [p_i + \frac{e}{c} A_i, p_j + \frac{e}{c} A_j] z^i \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{\sigma}_k, \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [p_i + \frac{e}{c} A_i, p_j + \frac{e}{c} A_j] &= \frac{e}{c} [p_i, A_j] + \frac{e}{c} [A_i, p_j] \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{e}{c} (\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i). \quad 31 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 &= \left(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 + m^2 c^2 \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} \bar{\sigma}_{4k} \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{e}{c} (\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i) \\ &= \left(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 + m^2 c^2 + \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{A}) \end{aligned} \quad 32$$

or with

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad 33$$

for the magnetic field

$$\left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 = \left(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 + m^2 c^2 + \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{B}, \quad 34$$

So far, this is exact. Now we consider a slow electron for which

$$H = mc^2 + H_1 \quad (35)$$

in which H_1 is small compared to mc^2 .

So that

$$\left(\frac{H + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 = \left(mc + \frac{H_1 + eA^0}{c} \right)^2 \approx m^2 c^2 + 2m(H_1 + eA^0). \quad (36)$$

So

$$2m(H_1 + eA^0) = \left(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 + \frac{e\hbar}{c} \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{B} \quad 37$$

or

$$H_1 = -eA^0 + \frac{(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A})^2}{2m} + \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \vec{\sigma}_4 \cdot \vec{B}. \quad (38)$$

Thus if we identify the spin part of the electron's angular momentum as

$$\vec{S} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \vec{\sigma}_4 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

then

$$H_1 = -eA^0 + \frac{(\vec{p} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{A})^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{mc} \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (40)$$

which is experimentally correct as shown by both the Stern-Gerlach experiment and by Zeeman's effect.

This result is surprising because for orbital angular momentum \vec{L} , the analogous term is

$$\frac{e}{2mc} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (41)$$

Note that (5) and (13) give

$$\left[\left(\hbar \partial_\mu + \frac{ie}{c} A_\mu \right) \gamma^\mu + mc \right] \psi = 0 \quad 42$$

as Dirac's equation for an electron in an EDM field A_μ .